

Full Length Research Paper

Screening six cultivars of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) walp for adaptation to soil contaminated with spent engine oil

O. M. Agbogidi

Department of Forestry and Wildlife, Faculty of Agriculture, Delta State University, Asaba Campus, Nigeria.
E-mail: omagbogidi@yahoo.com. Tel: +2347038679939, +2348056306219.

Accepted 9 March, 2010

Field experiments were carried out in 2007 and 2008 growing seasons at the Delta State University, Asaba Campus Teaching and Research Farm to screen six cultivars of cowpea (IT80D- 699, IT82 (e-18), IT84S- 2246- 4, TVx3236, IT90K-277-2 and IT870- 941- 1) for adaptation to soil contaminated with spent engine oil. 0 (control), 25, 50, 75 and 100 ml of the oil served as the treatments. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications. The results showed that cowpea cultivars grown in 25 ml of spent engine oil gave consistently significant higher ($P \leq 0.05$) values than the control and the other treatments (50, 75 and 100 ml) of the spent oil in terms of plant height, leaf area, number of leaves, stem diameter, days to 50% flowering, number of nodes on main stem, number of branches, and number and length of peduncles. The results also showed that as from the 50 ml of oil application to soil, all the traits examined showed significant reductions ($P \geq 0.05$) when compared to their controls, however, TVx3226 and IT84S – 2246-4 were higher in performance whereas, IT890.699 and IT870- 941-, showed the lowest inhibitory effect. The study also showed a build up of heavy metals in oil-impacted soil. The current study has demonstrated that spent engine oil has a highly significant effect of reducing the growth characteristics of the six cultivars of cowpea examined with the TVx3236 and the IT84S- 2246- 4 showing some levels of tolerance hence, they can be recommended to farmers in oil impacted areas.

Key words: Screening, cowpea cultivars, adaptation, spent engine oil.

INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) is a popular leguminous food in Nigeria (Adelaja, 2000; Adaji et al., 2007). Cowpea belongs to the family Fabaceae and sub-family Faboideae. It is cultivated and used fresh in derived savannah and rainforest belts thus, it is available throughout the year either as vegetable or as a pulse (Singh and Rachie, 1985; Asumugha, 2002; Olapade et al., 2002). Asumugha (2002) stated that cowpea is consumed in various ways especially in the form of "Akara and moin-moin", which are very popular breakfast and snack foods. Philip (1999) and Olaleke et al. (2006) reported that cowpea contains moisture (4.0%), ash (37.1%), crude fat (31.3%), crude fibre (24.0%), crude protein (75.3%), carbohydrate by difference (82.8%), fatty acids (25%) and energy mjk g^{-1} (6.5193), a lot of minerals (g/ kgDM)² including Na, K, Mg, Ca, P, Ca, Co, Fe, Pb, Cu, Mn, Cd, Zn and Cr.

Cowpea is of major importance to the livelihoods of

Millions of relatively poor people in less developed countries of the tropics (Anoliefo et al., 2006; Ogbo, 2009). In fresh form, the young leaves, immature pods and peas are used as vegetable while several snacks and main dishes are prepared from the grain (Turk, 1980; Terge, 1984). Islam et al. (2006) noted that cowpea is more tolerant to drought, water logging, infertile soils and acid stress than common beans. Islam et al. (2006) further maintained that west and central Africa is the leading cowpea producing regions in the world.

Nigeria still depends largely on crude oil and its refined products for her income earnings. Spent lubricating oil has been reported to be a major and most common soil contaminant from engines and other machinery in Nigeria (Aneliefo and Edegbai, 2000; Vwioko and Fashemi, 2005; Achuba 2006; Sharifi et al., 2007). The indiscriminate disposal of spent oil into open vacant plots and farms, gutters and water drains is an environmental risk both to

ground water, plants and other organisms. The effects of oil in soil include depression and inhibition of plant growth, by interfering with the soil-water-plant interrelationships (Agbogidi and Ejemete, 2005; Agbogidi and Dolor, 2007). Although, researches have been carried out on the effects of spent engine oil on the growth of crop plants (Anoliefo and Vwioko, 1995; Wang et al., 2000; Odjegba and Sadiq, 2002; Nwadinigwe and Uzodimina, 2005; Vwioko and Fashemi, 2005; Agbogidi and Nweke, 2006; Sharifi et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2007). Information on the effects of spent oil on the growth of cowpea is, however, scarce. This study has been designed to screen six cultivars of cowpea for adaptation to soil contaminated with spent engine oil with a view to selecting and recommending the tolerant cultivars to farmers especially in the oil producing areas of Nigeria. The study also has the advantage of affording plant breeders the opportunity of searching for ways of improving cowpea production in oil-producing areas. This is because successive cultivation of cowpea beyond the present limits in Nigeria requires the discovery and selection of cultivars that are tolerant to oil effects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted during the 2007 and 2008 growing seasons at latitude $6^{\circ}14'N$ and longitude $6^{\circ}49'E$ (Asaba Meteorological Office, 2008) at the Delta State University Research farm, Asaba, Nigeria. The six cultivars of cowpea IT80D-699, IT82 (e-18), IT84S-2246-4, TVx3236, IT90K-277-2 and IT870-941-1) were purchased as a single batch from International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. While the spent engine oil (SEO) was from 10 different motor mechanic workshops in Asaba, Delta State to allow for the generalization of the results. The site was ploughed by a tractor, harrowed after one week and the land was measured with tape and mapped out with pegs. Each plot measured 5 m in length and 3 m in width. The space between the plots was 1.5 m. A planting space of 60 x 30 cm was used following the procedure of Remison (1978). Two seeds from each cowpea cultivar were sown in each plot. Seedlings were thinned to one at two weeks after planting (WAP) when they were fully established. Regular weeding was ensured before plant maturity. Insect pests were controlled with Karate 2.5 EC at 2 weeks after seedling emergence and thereafter, at 10 days interval following the procedure of Awe (2008). 0 (control), 25, 50, 75 and 100 ml of oil per stand of the cowpea served as the treatments. Spent engine oil application (ring application) was done at 3 weeks after seedling emergence. No other application was done after this. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications. Subsequent examination followed. Growth indices measured were plant height, leaf area, number of leaves, stem diameter, number of branches, number of nodes on main stem, number of peduncles, length of peduncles and days to 50% flowering. Plant height was measured with a meter rule at the distance from soil level to terminal bud. Number of leaves was by visual counting of the leaves per cowpea plant. Leaf area (cm^2) was determined by tracing the margins of the leaf on a graph paper and the total area/ plant was obtained by counting the number of 1 cm square (Bamidele and Agbogidi, 2000). The stem diameter was measured at 2 cm above soil level with venire calipers. Data on number of branches/ plant, number of nodes/ plant, number and length of peduncles were collected at maturity before senescence by visual counting. Soil and Trace metal analysis of the

SEO were also carried out at the Institute of Agricultural research and Training, Obafemi Awolowo University, Moor Plantation, Ibadan. Soil analysis for heavy metal concentration was by atomic absorption spectrophotometric procedure using Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), (1985). Data obtained on each trait were subjected to a single factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) while the significant means were separated with the Duncan's multiple range tests (DMRT) using SAS (1996).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained for the growth characteristics and morphological characteristics of the six-cowpea cultivars are presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3 respectively. The results showed that cowpea seeds from TVx3236 cultivars and IT84S-2246-4 grown in 25 ml of spent engine oil gave consistently significant higher (\leq) values than the control and the other treatments (50, 75 and 100 ml of SEO). Treatments 0 and 25 ml of the oil produced significantly higher ($P \leq 0.05$) plant height, leaf area, number of leaves, stem diameter, number of branches, number of nodes, number and length of peduncles than those of the higher treatments (Tables 1, 2 and 3). Plants grown in 25 ml of the SEO contaminated soil flowered earlier than those in the control and the higher treatments. Generally, the various responses of the cowpea cultivars to the contaminant was observed to be dose dependent, although an increase in the various traits was observed in the cultivars exposed to 25 ml of the SEO indicating growth stimulation at this level of oil treatment. Anoliefo and Vwioko (2005) and Sharifi et al. (2007) separately studied various plant species to soil contaminated with spent lubricating oil and reported growth enhancement (fertilizer effect) at 1% concentration when compared with the control. Agbogidi and Bamidele (2007) noted that small amount of hydrocarbon in substrates can enhance growth media and indirectly growth characteristics. The observed better performance of cowpea cultivars TVx 3236 and IT84S-2246-4 than the other cultivars indicates species dependent quality of oil effects. Anoliefo and Edegbai (2000) reported that *Solanum melongena* was more tolerant to spent lubricating oil than *S. incanum*. Similarly, Sharifi et al. (2007) noted that *Medicago truncatula* is the most tolerant plant species among the six species examined. Vwioko and Fashemi (2005) had earlier reported stimulation of growth in the germination and growth characteristics at 1% w/ w spent lubricating oil in soil for *Ricinus communis* seedling while growth in higher concentrations (2, 3, 4, 5 and 6%w/ w) exhibited depression. The results of soil and metal concentration analysis are shown in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. The result indicated that there was a build up of heavy metals in the soil with increasing oil doses in soil. This observation agrees with earlier reports of Vwioko et al. (2006), The study has showed that as from 50 ml of oil application to soil, all the traits examined showed significant reductions ($p \geq 0.05$). The current study has also

Table 1. Plant height (cm) and leaf area (cm²) of the six cultivars of cowpea as affected by SEO.

Cowpea cultivar	Plant height/ oil level					Means
	0	25	50	75	100	
1WAOA						
IT81D-699	20.1	21.7	10.6	18.7	18.4	19.7e
IT82 (e-18)	22.4	22.6	21.4	21.0	20.6	21.6a
IT84S-2246-4	24.6	25.6	24.2	23.0	22.1	23.9b
TV x 3236	25.7	25.8	24.6	24.4	24.0	24.9a
IT90K -277-2	21.2	21.6	21.0	20.6	20.3	20.9d
IT870-941-1	20.3	20.6	20.0	19.4	18.6	19.8e
Means	22.4b	23.0a	21.8c	21.2d	20.7e	
2WAOA						
IT81D-699	40.3	41.0	40.1	39.6	38.4	39.9
IT82 (e-18)	46.7	46.9	46.3	43.7	40.1	44.7
IT84S-2246-4	56.7	56.9	56.4	56.2	55.6	56.4
TV x 3236	58.4	58.8	58.9	57.7	56.2	58.0
IT90K- 277-2	46.7	46.9	46.2	45.2	45.0	46.0
IT870-941	45.6	45.8	45.1	44.7	43.1	44.9
Means	49.1b	49.4a	48.8c	47.9d	46.4e	
3WAOA						
IT81D-699	56.4	56.5	56.2	56.0	53.1	55.6d
IT82 (e-18)	56.9	57.4	57.1	56.8	55.1	56.7d
IT84S-2246-4	70.3	70.7	70.5	70.2	70.0	70.3b
TV x 3236	74.8	74.4	74.4	74.4	73.2	74.3a
IT90K-277-25	7.6	57.7	57.3	56.8	56.3	57.1c
IT870-941-1	57.2	57.3	57.0	56.3	56.1	56.8d
Means	62.2b	62.4a	62.1b	61.8c	60.6d	
Cowpea cultivar	Leaf area/ oil level					Means
	0	25	50	75	100	
1WAOA						
IT81D-699	40.2	41.6	40.1	40.0	39.1	40.2e
IT82 (e-18)	42.2	43.6	42.0	41.7	41.6	42.2c
IT84S-2246-4	48.6	49.7	48.0	47.8	46.9	48.6b
TV x 3236	49.725.7	50.8	49.3	49.2	49.0	49.6a
IT90K -277-2	41.6	41.9	41.3	41.0	40.7	41.5d
IT870-941-1	41.6	42.5	41.0	41.2	41.4	41.5d
Means	43.9b	45.0a	43.6c	43.8d	43.3d	
2WAOA						
IT81D-699	56.1	56.7	56.0	55.0	55.0	55.8d
IT82 (e-18)	57.7	57.9	57.1	57.0	56.1	57.2c
IT84S-2246-4	62.0	63.1	59.4	58.7	57.6	58.2b
TV x 3236	64.4	65.2	63.7	63.3	63.0	63.9a
IT90K- 277-2	54.4	55.1	54.6	54.2	53.8	54.4d
IT870-941	53.7	54.3	53.0	53.1	53.0	53.4e
Means	58.1b	58.7a	57.3c	56.9d	56.4e	
3WAOA						
IT81D-699	57.4	58.3	57.2	57.0	56.1	56.6

Table 1. Contd.

IT82 (e-18)	59.9	60.3	59.0	57.6	55.4	58.3
IT84S-2246-4	64.6	65.9	63.4	63.2	62.1	63.8
TV x 3236	65.9	66.3	65.2	64.6	62.9	65.8
IT90K-277-25	56.1	56.7	55.0	53.7	51.6	54.6
IT870-941-1	54.9	55.4	53.2	52.7	51.6	54.6
Means	59.7b	60.5a	58.8c	58.1d	56.8e	

Means in the same column with different letters and with the same MAP are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$ using DMRT. WAOA = Week after oil application.

Table 2. Number of leaves and stem diameter (cm) of the six cultivars of cowpea as affected by spent engine oil.

Cowpea cultivar	Leaf area/ oil level					Means
	0	25	50	75	100	
1WAOA						
IT81D-699	6.6	6.8	6.6	6.3	6.1	6.5c
IT82 (e-18)	6.5	6.7	6.4	6.2	5.7	6.3d
IT84S-2246-4	7.4	7.7	7.3	7.0	7.0	7.3b
TV x 3236	7.5	7.9	7.5	7.2	7.1	7.4a
IT90K-277-25	6.3	6.5	6.2	6.0	5.8	6.2e
IT870-941-1	6.4	6.5	6.1	5.9	5.6	6.1e
Means	6.8b	7.0a	6.7b	6.4c	6.2d	
2WAOA						
IT81D-699	7.4	7.6	7.3	7.0	6.4	7.1c
IT82 (e-18)	7.3	7.7	7.2	7.0	6.5	7.1c
IT84S-2246-4	9.4	9.8	9.2	9.0	8.3	9.1b
TV x 3236	9.6	10.4	9.6	9.2	9.0	9.5a
IT90K-277-25	7.3	7.5	7.2	7.1	6.5	7.1c
IT870-941-1	7.3	7.6	7.1	6.7	6.4	7.0c
Means	8.1b	8.4a	7.9c	7.7d	7.2e	
3WAOA						
IT81D-699	7.5	7.6	7.0	6.8	6.2	7.0c
IT82 (e-18)	7.5	7.6	7.0	6.7	6.1	7.0c
IT84S-2246-4	9.4	9.6	9.2	9.0	8.5	9.1b
TV x 3236	9.6	9.9	9.3	9.1	8.6	9.3a
IT90K-277-25	7.4	7.5	7.0	6.6	6.4	7.0c
IT870-941-1	7.3	7.4	7.0	6.5	6.3	6.9c
Means	8.1b	8.3a	7.8c	7.5d	7.0e	
Cowpea cultivar	Stem diameter/ oil level					
1WAOA						
IT81D-699	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.2c
IT82 (e-18)	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.9	1.2c
IT84S-2246-4	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.5b
TV x 3236	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.6a
IT90K-277-25	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.1d
IT870-941-1	1.1	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.7	1.0d
Means	1.4b	1.6a	1.3b	1.1c	1.0c	

Table 2. Contd.

2WAOA						
IT81D-699	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.4c
IT82 (e-18)	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.0	1.4c
IT84S-2246-4	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.4	1.1	1.7b
TV x 3236	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.0	1.3	1.8a
IT90K-277-25	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.8	1.1d
IT870-941-1	1.3	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.7	1.1d
Means	1.6b	1.7a	1.6b	1.2c	1.0d	
3WAOA						
IT81D-699	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.4d
IT82 (e-18)	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.5c
IT84S-2246-4	2.1	2.2	1.9	1.5	1.2	1.8b
TV x 3236	2.2	2.4	2.0	1.6	1.4	1.9a
IT90K-277-25	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.2	1.0	1.5c
IT870-941-1	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.1	0.8	1.3d
Means	1.8b	1.9a	1.7c	1.3d	1.1e	

Table 3. Morphological characteristics of the six cultivars of cowpea subject to SEO.

Character	Cowpea cultivars	Oil level					Means
		0	25	50	75	100	
Days to 50% flowering	IT81D-699	39.8	37.6	40.2	44.6	45.0	41.48d
	IT82 (e-18)	40.6	38.2	40.9	43.5	45.2	41.68c
	IT84S-2246-4	38.2	37.0	43.3	44.7	44.9	41.62b
	TV x 3236	38.0	37.0	42.7	43.9	44.1	41.14a
	IT90K- 277-2	39.8	38.7	42.9	45.8	46.3	42.7e
	IT870-941	40.8	39.4	43.6	47.3	47.9	43.8f
	Means	39.5b	38.9a	42.3c	45.8d	45.6d	
Number of nodes on main stem	IT81D-699	9.7	10.6	9.1	8.7	8.0	9.22c
	IT82 (e-18)	9.6	10.5	9.0	8.7	7.6	9.08d
	IT84S-2246-4	10.0	10.9	9.6	9.2	9.0	9.74b
	TV x 3236	10.9	11.7	10.5	9.6	9.4	10.42a
	IT90k- 277-2	9.5	10.4	9.2	8.5	7.3	8.98e
	IT870-941	9.3	9.9	8.7	8.3	7.5	8.74f
	Means	9.83b	10.67a	9.35c	8.83d	8.13e	
No of branches	IT81D-699	4.6	4.8	4.3	4.0	3.6	4.3c
	IT82 (e-18)	3.8	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.0	3.6e
	IT84S-2246-4	5.6	5.8	5.2	4.6	3.9	5.0b
	TV x 3236	7.8	8.0	7.7	5.8	6.3	7.3a
	IT90K- 277-2	4.2	4.6	4.0	3.2	2.8	3.8d
	IT870-941	4.1	4.5	3.8	3.1	2.6	3.6e
	Means	5.0b	5.3a	4.8c	4.1d	3.1e	
Number of peduncles	IT81D-699	7.1	7.4	7.0	6.3	6.2	6.8c
	IT82 (e-18)	7.2	7.5	6.2	6.4	5.2	6.4d
	IT84S-2246-4	8.6	8.8	7.4	7.2	7.0	7.8a
	TV x 3236	8.7	8.9	7.9	7.7	7.4	7.8a
	IT90K- 277-2	7.3	7.5	7.0	6.4	6.3	6.9b

Table 3. Contd.

	IT870-941	7.4	7.6	7.0	6.4	6.3	6.9b
	Means	7.7b	8.0a	7.1c	6.7d	6.4e	
	IT81D-699	9.4	9.6	9.3	9.0	9.3	9.3d
	IT82 (e-18)	9.7	9.7	9.3	9.2	9.1	9.3d
	IT84S-2246-4	12.7	12.7	10.9	10.4	10.3	11.2b
Length of peduncles	TV x 3236	12.9	12.9	11.4	10.9	10.6	11.5a
	IT90K- 277-2	9.9	9.9	9.4	8.7	8.5	9.2d
	IT870-941	9.9	9.9	9.5	9.4	9.2	9.5c
	Means	10.3b	10.8a	10.0c	9.6d	9.5d	

Means in the same column and within the same parameter with different letter are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$ using DMRT

Table 4. Physico-chemical properties Asaba soil before planting.

Parameter	Value
Silk %	94.5
Silt %	2.1
Clay %	3.4
Soil Ph	5.60
Organic carbon (%)	0.91
Total N (%)	0.06
Available P (mg/kg)	30.00
Ca ²⁺ (cmol/kg)	1.31
Mg ²⁺ (cmol/kg)	0.16
Na ⁺ (cmol/kg)	0.25
K ⁺ (cmol/kg)	0.17
H ⁺ (cmol/kg)	0.45
Al ³⁺ (cmol/kg)	0.08
ECEC (cmol/kg)	2.42
Based saturation	78.10
Trace elements	0.02

Source: Agbogidi (2006).

Table 5. Heavy metal concentration (mgkg^{-1}) of soils under various treatments.

Crude oil level in soil (%w/w)	Heavy metal content							
	Fe	Zn	Cd	Cu	Mn	Pb	Cr	Ni
0.00	0.62 _d	0.98 _d	0.50 _d	0.03 _d	0.05 _e	0.50 _d	0.62 _e	2.20 _e
1.50	0.98 _d	1.21 _c	0.87 _c	0.11 _c	1.12 _d	1.30 _c	1.08 _d	4.01 _d
3.00	1.49 _c	1.45 _c	1.12 _c	0.26 _c	2.43 _c	1.41 _c	1.51 _c	6.00 _c
4.50	2.45 _b	1.84 _b	1.58 _b	0.48 _b	2.94 _b	1.56 _b	2.10 _b	8.46 _b
6.00	3.26 _a	2.01 _a	1.97 _a	0.86 _a	4.52 _a	1.86 _a	2.37 _a	11.20 _a

Means with different letters are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$ using Duncan's multiple range tests.

also demonstrated that spent engine oil has a highly significant effect of reducing the growth characteristics of the six cultivars of cowpea examined with the TVx3236

and the IT84S-2246- 4 showing some levels of tolerance, hence, they can be recommended to farmers in oil impacted areas.

REFERENCES

- Achuba FI (2006). The effects of sublethal concentration of crude oil on the growth and metabolism of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) seedlings. *The Environmentalist* 21(1): 17-20
- Adaji MJ, Olufaja OO, Aliyu L (2007). Effect of intra-row spacing and stand density on the growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *In: Olufaja, O.O., Omokore, D.F., Akpa, G.N and Sanni, S.A. (eds.)*. Proceedings of the 41st Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN) held at the Institute for agricultural Research, Samaru, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria between 22nd and 26th October, 2007. Pp 153 - 157.
- Adelaja SO, (2000). Development and evaluation of some quality parameter of cowpeas (*Vigna unguiculata* snack). *In: Nkama, L., Jideani, J.A. and Ayo, J.A. (eds.)*. Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of NIFST held at Bauchi, Bauchi State between 20th and 24th November, 2000. Pp 258- 259.
- Agbogidi, OM (2006). Effects of soil contaminated with crude oil on the growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L) in Delta State (Ph. D Thesis) in the Department of Agronomy, Delta State University, Abraka. 169p
- Agbogidi OM, Dolor DE (2007). An assessment of the growth of *Irvingia gabonensis* (Aubry-Lecomte Ex O' Rorte) Bail seedlings as influenced by crude oil contamination of soil. *Asian J. Plant Sci.* (2) : 1287-1292.
- Agbogidi OM, Ejemete OR (2005). An assessment of the effects of crude oil pollution on soil properties, germination and growth of *Gambaya albida* (L.). *Uniswa Res. J. Agric. Sci. Techno.* 8 (2): 148-155.
- Agbogidi OM, Nweke FU (2005). Effects of crude oil polluted soil on the performance of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) Moench in Delta State. *Afr. J. Nat. Sci.* (8): 31-35.
- Agbogidi OM, Nweke FU (2006). Screening five cultivars of soya bean (*Glycine max* (L) Merr.) for adaptation in soils contaminated with crude oil. Proceedings of the 40th Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria held at the University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, and 16th -20th October, 2006. Pp 570-572.
- Anoliefo GO, Vwioko DE (1995). Effect of spent lubricating oil on the growth of *Capsicum annum* L. *Lycopersicon esculentum* Miller. *Environ. Poll.* 88:361-364.
- Anoliefo GO, Edegbai BO (2000). Effect of spent engine oil as a soil contaminant on the growth of two egg plant species, *Solanum melongena* L. and *S. incanum* L. *J. Agric. For. Fisheries* (1): 21-25.
- Anoliefo GO, Vwioko DE (2005). Tolerance of *Chromolaena odorata* (L) K. and R. grown in soil contaminated with spent lubricating oil. *J. Tropical Bioscience* 1(1): 20-24.
- Anoliefo OG, Isikhuemhen O, Ohimain E (2006). Sensibility studies of the common bean (s) and maize (*Zea mays*) to different soil Kutchalli., Nigeria. *J. Soils Sediments* (!): 30-34.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), (1985). Official methods of analysis. 12th edition.
- Asumugha VU (2002). Sensory and functional properties of dry vegetable cowpea product (Akara). *In: Ubbaonu, C.N., Eke, O.S. and Uzomah, A. (eds.)* Proceedings of the 26th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Institute of Food Science and Technology (NIFST) held at the Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State between 4th and 8th of November, 2002. Pp 66- 67.
- Awe OA (2008). Preliminary evaluation of three Asian yards long bean cowpea lines in Ibadan, southwestern Nigeria. *In: Proceeds of the 42nd Annual Conference of ASN held at Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria between 19th and 23rd of October, 2008. Pp 246 - 249.*
- Bamidele JF, Agbogidi OM (2000). Toxicity of Odidi petroleum oil and its water-soluble fraction on three aquatic macrophytes. *Nig. J. Sci. Environ.* (2):113-121.
- Islam S, Cowmen RC Garner JO (2006). Screening for tolerance of stress temperature during germination of twenty-five cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) cultivars. *J. Food Agric Environ.* 4(2): 189 - 191.
- Nwadinigwe AO, Uzodimma, N.S. (2005). Effects of petroleum spill on the germination and growth of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *J. Biolo. Res. Biotechn.* 3(2): 101 - 105.
- Odjegba VJ, Sadiq AO (2002). Effects of spent engine oil on the growth parameters, chlorophyll and protein levels of *Amaranthus hybridus* L. *The Environment* 22:23 - 28.
- Ogbo EM (2009). . Effects of diesel fuel contamination on seed germination of four crop plants-*Arachis hypogaea*, *Vigna unguiculata*, *Sorghum bicolor* and *Zea mays*. *African J. Biotechn.* 8(2): 250-253.
- Olaleke AO, Olorunfemi O, Akintayo TE (2006). Compositional evaluation of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) and scarlet runner bean (*Phaseolus coccineus*) varieties grown in Nigeria. *J. Food. Agric. Environ.* 4 (2): 39 – 43.
- Olapade AA, Ugokwe PU, Ozumba AU, Solomon HM (2002). Assessment of Premix for moin-moin and akara 1: effect of added ingredient on functional properties of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) flour. *In: Ubbaonu, C.N., Eke, O.S. and Uzomah, A. (eds.)* Proceedings of the 26th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Institute of Food Science and Technology (NIFST) held at the Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State between 4th and 8th of November, 2002. Pp. 68 - 70.
- SAS (1996). SAS User's guide statistics version 5, SAS institute Inc., Raleigh, N.Y., USA.
- Sharifi, M., Sadeghi, Y. and Akharpour, M. (2007). Germination and growth of six plant species on contaminated soil with spent oil. *Inter. J. Environ. Sci. Techno.* 4(4): 463 - 470.
- Singh SR, Rachie KO (1985). Cowpea research and utilization. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Smith MJ, Flowers TH, Duncan HJ, Alder J (2007). Effects of polycyclic hydrocarbons on germination and subsequent growth of grasses and legumes in fleshly contaminated soil and soil with aged PAHs residues. *Environ. Poll.* 141:519-525.
- Terge K (1984). Effect of oil pollution in the germination and vegetative growth of five species of vascular plants. *Oil and Petroleum J.* 2:25-30.
- Turk KJ, Hall AE , Asbell CW (1980). Brought adaptation of cowpea 1: Influence of drought on seed yield. *Agron. J.* 72: 413- 420.
- Vwioko DE, Fashemi DS (2005): Growth response of *Ricinus communis* L. (castor oil) in spent lubricating oil polluted soil. *J. App. Sci. Environ. Manag.* 9 (2): 73 - 79.
- Vwioko DE, Anoliefo GO, Fashemi SD (2006). Metal concentration in plant tissue of *Ricinus communis* L. (Castor oil) grown in soil contaminated with spent lubricating oil. *J. App. Sci. Environ. Manag.* 10(3): 127- 134.
- Wang J, Jia CR, Wong CK, Wong PK (2000). Characterization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons created in lubricating aromatic hydrocarbons created in lubricating oil. *Water, Air Soil Poll.* 120:381 - 396.