# Full Length Research Paper

# Virulence factors and antibiotic resistance in Staphylococcus aureus and Clostridium perfringens from landfill leachate

Efuntoye M. O.1\*, Bakare A. A.2 and Sowunmi A. A.2

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago Iwoye, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

Accepted 22 September, 2011

A total of 34 isolates of bacteria, 20 Staphylococus aureus and 14 Clostridium perfringens from leachate samples, were investigated for virulence factors and antibiotic resistance. Majority (>70%) of the isolates produced enterotoxins. Many of the S. aureus isolates tested positive for deoxyribonuclease, haemolysins and slime production. Staphylococcal enterotoxin A (SEA) was the predominant enterotoxin produced by the S. aureus. None of the S. aureus was resistant to ciprofloxacin, novobiocin and vancomycin. Six isolates were resistant to methicillin and majority of them were resistant to penicillin, ampicillin and bacitracin. Eleven (78.6%) of the C. perfringens isolates produced enterotoxin and were also beta haemolytic. Except for one strain each of C. perfringens which were resistant to penicillin and ampicillin-sulbaltam respectively, all others were susceptible to the antibiotics tested. The presence of several of the virulence traits investigated and resistance to commonly used antibiotics in many of the S. aureus and C. perfringens tested raises concern about their dissemination through leachate to the environment. The accumulation of leachate and possible contamination of surface and groundwater sources also points out its potential risk for public health. There is need for some measures to properly manage waste and consequently reduce surface and groundwater contamination through leachate percolation.

**Key words:** Virulence factor, landfill leachate, antibiotic resistance, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Clostridium perfringens*, health impact.

# INTRODUCTION

Microbial analysis of landfill leachates revealed that they contain large number of pathogenic and opportunistic bacteria. Many species belonging to genera such as Escherichia, Klebsiella, Salmonella, Enterobacter, Serratia, Proteus, Pseudomonas and Staphylococcus have been reported (Holt et al., 2000; Adeyemi et al., 2007; Flores-Tena et al., 2007). The infiltration and accumulation of such leachate samples at any depth of soil may affect the quality of surrounding groundwater of nearby habitats in relation to the microbiological quality standard of the drinking water (Fatta et al., 1999). In addition, since domestic animals and birds are known to scavenge around the landfills, there could also be the

risk of dispersion of pathogenic species by these animals and birds (Rusin et al., 2000). In a preliminary study involving the ecotoxicological assessment and microbial characterization of leachate from municipal solid waste landfill in Ibadan, Nigeria, Oshode et al. (2008) reported the isolation of potentially pathogenic and toxin-producing microorganisms. Since the risk of transmission of these potential pathogens is high because these is no known containment or treatment system for the leachate generated, it is necessary to evaluate the virulence factors and the antibiotic resistance traits of the isolated species. The detection of toxin production is useful in determining the significance of isolates. This is because presence of virulence factors, particularly enterotoxins, reinforces the role of some of these isolates as effective agents of food-borne illness. Also the presence of antibiotic resistant genes in these organisms

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: mosolatoye@yahoo.com.

is of great concern as they could contribute to the spread of antimicrobial resistance in the food chain. Within this context, the purpose of the present study was to investigate the production of some virulence factors and also determine the antibiotic susceptibility of isolates of *S. aureus* and *C. perfringens* isolated from landfill leachate in Ibadan, Nigeria.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Organisms' isolation, treatment and selection

The bacterial strains used were isolated from landfill leachate in Ibadan, Nigeria (Oshode et al., 2008). *S. aureus* strains were maintained at  $4^{\circ}$ C on tryptone soya agar (Oxoid, UK) slants while *C. perfringens* isolates were maintained at  $4^{\circ}$ C in Cooked Meat Medium (Oxoid, UK). A total of 34 isolates, 20 strains of *S. aureus* and 14 strains of *C. perfringens* were selected for this study.

#### Haemolysin production

Haemolytic activity was determined on Columbia Blood Agar Base (Oxoid, UK) containing 5% defribrinated horse blood. Plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 to 48 h for cultures of *S. aureus*. Cultures of *C. perfringens* were incubated at 37°C in an anaerobic jar using Gas Pak System (Oxoid, UK). Zones of clearing around colonies indicated haemolysin production.

# Determination of DNase production by S. aureus

DNase Agar (Oxoid, UK) was used to determine DNase production. *S. aureus* strains were streaked on the agar medium and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. After incubation, the culture plate was flooded with 1N HCl and colonies were observed for clearing of colour and this was considered as positive for DNase activity.

#### Determination of slime production by S. aureus

Production of slime by the isolates was assayed according to the method described by Citak et al. (2003).

## **Enterotoxin analysis**

The SET-RPLA and PET-RPLA, kits supplied by Oxoid, UK, were used for the detection of enterotoxins in isolates of *S. aureus* and *C. perfringens* respectively. The procedures for analysis and interpretation of results were according to manufacturer's instructions.

#### **Antibiotic resistance**

The antibiotic susceptibility testing for S.~aureus isolates was determined using the disk diffusion method (Bauer et al., 1966). The medium used was Mueller-Hinton agar and inoculum was prepared in accordance with NCCLS (2006). The antibiotic discs used were ampicillin (25  $\mu$ g), penicillinG (10  $\mu$ g) methicillin (5  $\mu$ g), bacitracin (10  $\mu$ g), erythromycin (15  $\mu$ g), vancomycin (30  $\mu$ g), ciprofloxacin (5  $\mu$ g), novobiocin (30  $\mu$ g), gentamicin (10  $\mu$ g) and tetracycline (30  $\mu$ g).

For C. perfringens, a loopful of colony suspended in peptone

water and prepared to 0.5 MacFarland standard was used as inoculum. Defibrinated sheep blood agar was used as the medium. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of 5 antimicrobial agents namely, penicillin G (Sigma), clindamycin (The Upjohn Co. Mich), vancomycin (Eli Lilly & Co, Indianapolis), metronidazole (Sigma) and ampicillin-sulbactam (Pfizer, Conneticut) were determined. The agents were reconstituted as directed by manufacturers. Serial two-fold dilutions were prepared and added to the molten defibrinated sheep blood agar. The test isolates were spot-inoculated on the plates. The plates were incubated in an anaerobic jar with GasPak system (Oxoid, U.K.) at 37 ℃ for 48 h.

The MIC was determined according to the approved standard set forth in NCCLS (1993). Plates inoculated with the organisms but without antibiotics serve as the control. The assays were conducted in duplicate for each organism evaluated.

## **RESULTS**

The production of haemolysins, DNase, slime, enterotoxins and antibiotic resistance among isolates of *S. aureus* is shown in Table 1. Majority (80%) of the *S. aureus* isolates were beta haemolytic. Alpha haemolysis was not detected. Slime and DNase production were positive in 90% of 20 *S. aureus*. Staphylococcal enterotoxin A was the predominant enterotoxin produced by most of the *S. aureus* isolates (85%). Resistance to penicillin was 85% followed by ampicillin, tetracycline, bacitracin, gentamicin, methicillin and erythromycin in that order. Table 1 shows the virulence traits and antibiotic susceptibility of the *C. perfringens* isolates.

Results of the MICs show that two isolates, one each, were resistant to penicillin and ampicillin-sulbactam respectively. Eleven isolates of C. perfringens were  $\beta$ -haemolytic and of these 10 produced enterotoxin.

# **DISCUSSION**

Our findings show that the isolates have the ability to produce a number of exoenzymes which are known to contribute to virulence (Dinges et al., 2000; Sandel and McKillip, 2004). Slime production has been implicated in bacterial adherence, an important factor for bacterial colonization, which is a necessary step in the pathogenesis of many bacterial infections. Many reports in literature have reported the production of slime from *S. aureus* isolated from dairy products (Aguilar et al., 2001; Citak et al., 2003; Vasudevan et al., 2003). Slime production has also been reported to increase resistance to antibiotics (Gunaydin et al., 1995). Production of enterotoxin was detected in all the isolates of *S. aureus*.

Staphylococcal enterotoxin A (SEA) was detected in 85% isolates and in combination with SED in 20% isolates and with SEC in 10% isolates. Two of the non-haemolytic *S. aureus* produced SEB. Our results show that SEA was the predominant enterotoxin produced by the *S. aureus* isolates. SEA is the most frequent enterotoxin involved in staphylococcal food poisoning

**Table 1.** Production of virulence factors and antibiotic resistance of tested isolates.

Isolate code	Virulence traits, antibiotic resistance
SaAERL 3	$\beta$ , SEA, SED, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , bac, met, pen, amp, gen
SaAERL 4	β, SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , bac, pen, ery, amp, tet, gen
SaAERL 7	non-haemolytic, SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , bac, pen, amp, gen
SaAERL 9	$\beta$ , SEA, SEC, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , bac, met, pen amp, tet
SaAERL 15	$\beta$ , SEA, SED, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , pen, amp, tet
SaAERL 17	β, SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , bac, pen, amp, gen
SaAERL 25	non-haemolytic, SEB, D <sup>-</sup> , 5, ery
SaAERL 28	β, SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , bac, pen, amp, tet
SaAERL 31	β, SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , bac, pen, amp, tet
SaAERL 62	non-haemolytic, SEB, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , pen, amp, bac
SaAERL 82	$\beta$ , SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , pen, amp, tet, gen
SaAERL 110	$\beta$ , SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , pen, amp, bac
SaAERL 112	β, SEA, SEC, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , met, pen, bac
SaOARL 1	non-haemolytic, SEA, pen, ery, tet
SaOARL 16	$\beta$ , SEA, SED, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , met, pen, bac
SaOARL 22	$\beta$ , SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , pen, amp, bac
SaOARL 41	β, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , tet, gen
SaOARL 44	β, SEA, SED, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , met, bac, tet
SaOARL 45	$\beta$ , SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , pen, amp, bac
SaOARL 46	$\beta$ , SEA, D <sup>+</sup> , S <sup>+</sup> , pen, amp
CpAERL 1	$\beta$ , ent <sup>+</sup>
CpAERL 8	$\beta$ , ent $^{+}$ , pen
CpAERL 12	β, ent <sup>-</sup>
CpAERL 42	β, ent <sup>+</sup>
CpAERL 61	non-haemolytic, ent <sup>+</sup>
CpAERL 74	$\beta$ , ent <sup>+</sup>
CpAERL 75	β, ent <sup>+</sup>
CpAERL 91	non-haemolytic, ent <sup>-</sup> , amp-sulb
CpAERL 102	β, ent <sup>+</sup>
CpAERL 112	non-haemolytic, ent
CpOARL 6	$\beta$ , ent <sup>+</sup>
CpOARL 8	β, ent <sup>+</sup>
CpOARL 19	β, ent <sup>+</sup>
CpOARL 30	β, ent <sup>+</sup>

 $\beta$ : beta haemolysis; SEA: staphylococcal enterotoxin A; S<sup>+</sup>: slime positive; S<sup>-</sup>: slime negative; ent+: enterotoxin positive; Ent<sup>-</sup>: enterotoxin negative; D<sup>+</sup>: DNase positive D<sup>-</sup>: DNase negative; Antibiotic resistance is represented by bac, bacitracin; pen, penicillin; ery, erythromycin; met, methicillin; amp, ampicillin; tet, tetracycline; gen, gentamicin; Sa: *Staphylococcus aureus*; Cp: *Clostridium perfringens*.

worldwide (Shimizu et al., 2000; Cha et al., 2006), although other staphylococcal enterotoxins are known to be involved in association with SEA. All the isolates of *S. aureus* tested were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, novobiocin and vancomycin. They were however resistant to penicillin, ampicillin, bacitracin, methicillin, erythromycin, tetracycline and gentamicin at 80, 70, 70, 30, 20, 40 and 30% respectively. The high level resistance to penicillin and ampicillin is not surprising because reports in literature revealed a high prevalence of resistance of *S. aureus* from different sources to these

antibiotics (Malinowski et al., 2002; Gandara et al., 2006). The *C. perfringens* tested were susceptible to all the antibiotics tested, with the exception of two strains which were resistant to penicillin and ampicillin-sulbactam respectively. Eleven isolates were enterotoxigenic and were also beta haemolytic. Study findings support our hypothesis that pathogenic species of *S. aureus and C. perfringens* are present in leachate from the landfill. *S. aureus*, for example, is a significant pathogen causing many life threatening infections and gastroenteritis (Scherrer et al., 2004). Community acquired infections

associated with methicillin resistant S. aureus (MRSA) are on the increase. Although the number of S. aureus resistant to methicillin in this investigation are few, that leachate could contribute to the burden of MRSA infections should not be ignored. The lack of published data documenting the production of virulence factors and presence of antibiotic resistant strains of S. aureus and C. perfringens from landfill leachates hampers our ability to determine whether these traits abound in other regions of the world or not. Collins and Kennedy (1992) had reported the isolation of S. aureus among other organisms from leachates. However, it was not known whether the isolates were enterotoxigenic or not. The presence of antibiotic resistant species in leachate in this study reflects an increase in the burden of resistant strains of bacteria in our environment and calls for more research. Preliminary results (Oshode et al., 2008) which reported the presence of faecal coliforms in large numbers (that is  $\ge 10^6$  cfu/ml of leachate) indicated that a significant sanitary risk exists for workers managing the landfill, especially with such organisms which have been implicated in gastroenteritis. These findings also support potential for their transmission in sufficient concentration through scavenging animals and birds. From the results of the present study, the health hazard associated with the contamination of groundwater and surface water by untreated leachate is stressed by the presence of enterotoxin-producing diarrhea-causing bacteria. Since the proximity of the landfill sites to residential areas is close, there is greater possibility of groundwater and surface water contamination with the consequence risk to resource users and to the environment. These findings therefore call for some measures for the proper management of leachate from the landfill in order to reduce or eliminate groundwater/surface water contamination.

# **REFERENCES**

- Adeyemi O, Oloyede OB, Oladiji AT (2007). Physicochemical and microbial characteristics of leachate contaminated ground water. Asian J. Biochem., 2(5):343-348.
- Aguilar B, Amorena B, Hurralde M (2001). Effect of slime on adherence of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine and mastitis. Vet. Microbiol., 78:183-191.
- Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M (1966). Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol., 45:493-496.
- Cha JO, Lee JK, Jung YH, Yoo JI, Park YK, Kim BS, Lee YS (2006). Molecular analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates associated with Staphylococcal food poisoning in South Korea. J. Appl. Microbiol., 101:864-871.

- Citak S, Varlik O, Gundogan N (2003). Slime production and DNase activity of staphylococci isolated from raw milk. J. Food Saf., 23(4):281-288.
- Collins CH, Kennedy DA (1992). The microbiological hazards of municipal and clinical wastes. J. Appl. Bacteriol., 73:1-6.
- Dinges MM, Orwin PM, Schlievert PM (2000). Exotoxins of Staphylococcus aureus. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 13:16-34.
- Fatta D, Papadopoulos A, Loizidou M (1999). A study on the landfill leachate and its impact on the groundwater quality of the greater area. Environ. Geochem. Health 21(2):175-190.
- Flores-Tena FJ, Guerrero-Barrera AL, Avelar-Gonzalez FJ, Ramirez-Lopez EM, Martinez-Saldana MC (2007). Pathogenic and opportunistic gram-negative bacteria in soil, leachate and air in San Nicolas landfill at Aguascalientes, Mexico. Rev. Latinoam Microbiol., 49(1-2):25-30.
- Gandara A, Mota LC, Flores C, Perez HR, Green CF, Gibbs SG (2006). Isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* and antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from residential indoor bioaerosols. Environ. Health Perspect., 114(12):1859-1864.
- Gunaydin M, Leblebicioglu H, Sanic AF, Pirincciler M (1995). Koagulaz nagatif stafilokok'larda slime naptime ve antibiyotic direnci ile iliskisi. Mikrobiyoloji Bulteni 2 (9): 26 -31.
- Holt GH, Krieg NR, Sneath PTA, Staley JTF, William ST (2000). *Bergey's Manual of DeterminativeBacteriology*. 9<sup>th</sup> edition, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.
- Malinowski E, Klossowska A, Kaczmarowski M, Lassa HF, Kuzma K (2002). Antimicrobial susceptibility of staphylococci isolated from affected mastitis cows. Bull. Vet. Int. Pulawy, 46:288-29.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (1993) Method for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria. M11–A3, Villanova, Pa.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (2006). Performance standards for antimicrobial Susceptibility testing. NCCLS approved standard M100 S16.
- Oshode OA, Bakare AA, Adeogun AO, Efuntoye MO, Sowunmi AA (2008). Ecotoxicological assessment Using *Clarias gariepinus* and microbial characterization of leachates from municipal solid waste landfill. Int. J. Environ. Res., 2(4):215-225.
- Rusin P, Enriquez CE, Johnson D, Gerba CP (2000). Environmentally transmitted pathogen. In R.M. Maier, I.L.Pepper & G.P. Gerba (eds) Environmental Microbiology, Academic Press, San Diege, pp. 447 489.
- Sandel MKF, Mckillip JL (2004). Virulence and recovery of Staphylococcus aureus relevant to the food industry using improvements of traditional approaches. Food Control, 15:5 – 10
- Scherrer D, Corte S, Michlherr JE, Zweifel C, Stephan R (2004). Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from raw bulk-tank milk samples of goats and sheep. Vet. Microbiol., 101:101-107.
- Shimizu A, Fujiat M, Igarashi H, Takagi M, Nagase N, Sasake AF, Kawano J (2000).Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* coagulase Type VII isolates from *Staphylococcus* food poisoning outbreaks (1980 -1995) in Toyko, Japan by pulsed field Gel electrophoresis. J. Clin. Microbiol., 38:3746 -3749.
- Vasudevan P, Nair MKM, Annamalia T, Venkitanarayanan KS (2003). Phenotypic and Genotypic Characterization of bovine mastitis isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* for biofilm formation. Vet. Microbiol., 92:179 -185.