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The purpose of this study is to find out whether university students’ attitudes towards physics lesson, their self-efficacy beliefs and burnout levels predict their academic success in physics lessons. The research group consists of 641 university students of which 307 are girls (47.1%) and 334 boys (52.9%). The research data were collected using "Physics Attitude Scale" developed by Özyürek and Eryılmaz, "Physics Self-Efficacy Scale" developed by Çalışkan et al., "Maslach Burnout Inventory-Student Survey" developed by Schaufeli et al., which was adapted to Turkish by Çapri et al. and “Personal Information Form” developed by the researcher. "Multiple Regression Analysis" and "Stepwise Regression Analysis" were used for the analysis of the data. The analysis indicated statistically significant findings that predicted the academic success in physics lessons of the university students. The findings are discussed in accordance with the literature.
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INTRODUCTION

According to Fisbane (1996 as cited in Išlim, 2006), in the present era of technology, science and physics lessons construct the fundamentals of a modern world view equipped with more objective, rational, scientific, and technological tools. In particular, the methods used in physics research and the results obtained can affect other disciplines, and due to this feature; in practice, it is found in wide fields of application. According to İnan (1988), physics contributes to the development of all the sciences and cooperates with them on many issues. From this perspective, learning “physics” creates an obligation for the students in many disciplines, and therefore, they can understand the physics methods used in their branches and can be successful in their own fields.

Physics lessons, however, are troublesome for many students. The initial step to solve a problem is to determine the factors behind the problem, so the factors behind the students’ success in physics are of great importance (Abak et al., 2002). Among these factors, "attitude" is one of the most important ones. Attitude is a psychological structure seen as an important and critical predictor with its cognitive, affective and behavioral dimensions (Anderson, 1988). Many studies conducted to date have reported that a significant relationship exists between attitude and success, and that attitude scores significantly predict academic success (Akpınar, 2006; Aşkar and Erdem, 1987; Çapri et al., 2012; Drake, 2009;
Similarly, self-efficacy beliefs, another variable considered as a strong predictor of academic success (Ahyoung and In-Young, 2000; Britner and Pajares, 2001; Lane et al., 2004; Pajares and Miller, 1994; Zimmerman et al., 1992; Zeldin and Pajares, 2000), are defined as “the beliefs in one’s capabilities to organize and execute the lessons of action required to produce given attainments” (Bandura, 1997). In theory, it is stated that self-efficacy beliefs relate to specific activities (Bandura, 1977). Physics self-efficacy beliefs are among the specific self-efficacy areas based on a special activity. The studies investigating the relationship between physics self-efficacy beliefs and academic success have indicated different findings in the literature. Among these studies, there are some that show that academic success has a significant relationship with physics self-efficacy beliefs (Çalışkan et al., 2010; Çapi et al., 2012; Selçuk et al., 2008). Also, some studies show that academic success has no effect on physics self-efficacy beliefs (Abak et al., 2002; Shaw, 2004).

In the last decade, many studies (Balogun et al., 1995, 1996; Chang et al., 2000; Çapri et al., 2011; Çapulcuoğlu, 2012; Gold et al., 1989; Gündüz et al., 2012, Kutsal and Bilge, 2012; McCarthy et al., 1990; Schaufeli et al., 2002a, 2002b; Yang, 2004) have focused on students’ burnout, which is a source of an important level of stress and tension. Students’ burnout is defined as the students having demands and expectations from lessons and studies, having an insensitive and distant attitude towards lesson and studies, and the feeling of inadequacy as a student (Schaufeli et al., 2002a). The studies, especially examining the relationship between students’ burnout, academic success and academic performance indicated different findings. While some of these studies revealed no significant relationship (Balogun et al., 1996; Garden, 1991), some others indicated that the relationship is significant (McCarthy et al., 1990; Neumann et al., 1990; Nowack and Hanson, 1983; Schaufeli et al., 2002a).

Schaufeli et al. (2002a) reported that there is a negative correlation between academic performance and burnout. They also reported that student’s burnout predicts academic performance significantly. Moreover, it was indicated that there is a positive relationship between academic performance and efficacy beliefs, and that the more the students see themselves as competent the higher their academic performance is.

As summarized above, the number of studies on the relationships between attitude, self-efficacy, burnout, academic success and academic performance has increased to a significant level in recent years. However, it is clear that there is a need for a research to determine to what extent the students’ attitudes towards physics, their self-efficacy beliefs and burnout have an impact on their academic success in these lessons, which they overcome with great difficulty. From this perspective, the investigation of students’ attitudes that affect their academic success, their self-efficacy beliefs contributing to the development of positive or negative attitudes and their burnout in physics lessons can be useful.

In the light of the results obtained in this research, it will be possible, in particular, to determine the degree of impact of the students’ attitudes towards physics lesson, their self-efficacy and burnout on their academic success in physics lessons. Thus, in accordance with the findings on students developing a positive attitude towards physics lesson, their feeling of being more competent in physics lesson and also on lowering the levels of burnout stress due to such feelings as stress, fear and anxiety, the gain for increasing students’ academic success in physics lessons can be transferred and used in the educational environment.

Additionally, as a result of this research, problems such as students’ prejudices towards the topics encountered in physics teaching as reported previously (Gönen and Kocakaya, 2006) and their dislike of physics topics or perception of them as difficult (Çepni and Azar, 1999) can be overcome by taking educational measures. Besides, students’ perspective for physics lessons can also be altered as the gain transferred to the educational field.

Hence, the aim of this research is to reveal the contributions of the university students’ attitudes towards physics lesson, their self-efficacy beliefs and burnout levels in the prediction of their academic success in physics lessons.

**Problem statement**

Do university students’ attitudes towards physics lesson, their self-efficacy beliefs and burnout levels predict their academic success in physics lessons?

**METHOD**

This research is a descriptive-predictive study which uses a methodically relational model procedure.

**Research group**

The research group is a total of 641 volunteer university students, consisting of 307 girls (47.1%) and 334 boys (52.9%), studying in different departments at Mersin University.

**Measures**

**Physics attitude scale (PAS)**

The scale was developed by Özyürek and Eryılmaz (2001) and consists of totally 24 items of which 17 are positively and 7 are negatively worded. For each attitude item, “Absolutely Agree”, “Agree”, “Undetermined”, “Disagree”, “Absolutely Disagree” expressions were used. Scores for positively worded attitude items in the attitude scale are; 5 for “Absolutely Agree”, 4 for “Agree”, 3 for “Undetermined”, 2 for “Disagree” and 1 for “Absolutely Disagree”. For negatively worded items, scoring is the reverse.
Cronbach alpha reliability coefficient of the scale is 0.90.

**Physics self-efficacy scale (PSES)**

The scale developed by Çalışkan et al. (2007) is a 5-point Likert-type scale with 30 items which consist of 5 subscales. The expressions for each item are “Strongly Agree”, “Agree”, “Undecided”, “Disagree”, “Strongly Disagree”. The subscales are: 1. Self-efficacy towards solving physics problems (SESP), 2. Self-efficacy towards learning physics (SELP), 3. Self-efficacy towards application of physics knowledge (SEAPK), 4. Self-efficacy towards memorizing physics knowledge (SEMPK) and 5. Self-efficacy towards physics laboratory (SEPL). The items, except 16th and 20th, are scored as 5 for “Strongly Agree”, 4 for “Agree, 3 for “Undecided”, 2 for “Disagree” and 1 for “Strongly Disagree”. The highest score of this scale is 150 and the lowest score is 30. The Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficients of the subscales are: r = .91, .79, .76, .70, .86, respectively.

**Maslach burnout inventory-student survey (MBI-SS)**

The scale was developed by Schaufeli et al. (1996) and it is the adapted form so that it can be used on the students. It is a 7-point Likert type scale which consists of 16 items and three subscales (Schaufeli et al., 2002a). One of these subscales is Exhaustion (EX) consisting of 5 items; the second subscale, Cynicism (CY) includes 5 items and the last subscale, Efficacy (EF), has 6 items. All the items are scored ranging from 0 (never) to 6 (always). High scores on EX and CY and low scores on EF are indicative for burnout. In this study, the Turkish adapted version of the MBI-SS was used (Çapri et al., 2011).

**Personal information form**

This form was created to collect information about the university students’ academic success in physics lessons and about their gender variable.

**Procedures and data analysis**

After the participants were informed about the purpose of the research, the applications were applied to the participants during the course breaks. After the applications, data sets were examined one by one, and erroneous or incomplete data were removed. Remaining data were analyzed using SPSS 17.0. In order to determine the contributions of the independent variables that predict dependent variables, multivariate statistical techniques "Multiple Regression Analysis" and "Stepwise Regression Analysis" were used. The upper limit of error margin in the analysis was accepted as 0.05.

**RESULTS**

In this section, the findings of the research are presented.

**Results related to the prediction of the university students’ academic success in physics lessons**

To determine the contributions of the university students’ attitudes towards physics lesson, their self-efficacy beliefs and burnout levels for the prediction of their academic success in physics lessons, multiple regression analysis was performed in the initial step to reveal the predictive power of all the independent variables on the dependent variables. Then stepwise regression analysis was performed to identify the level of contributions of the variables which contributed significantly. The results of multiple regression analysis predicting the university students’ academic success in physics lessons are given in Table 1.

As can be seen in the table, the zero-order correlations between the dependent and independent variables were significant and ranged from .335 to .073. All correlation coefficients obtained between dependent and independent variables appeared to have a positive direction. As seen in the table of the results of multiple regression analysis, the independent variables introduced into the model predicted the dependent variables significantly ($R = .40, R^2 = .16, p <.005$). From the analysis of the results of $t$-test for significance of the regression coefficients, the most significant contribution for the prediction of cognitive flexibility was provided by the scores obtained from self-efficacy towards solving physics problems (SESP) of PSES, the efficacy (EF) subscale of MBI-SS, self-efficacy towards learning physics (SELP) of PSES and physics attitude scale (PAS), respectively.

In order to determine the statistical contribution levels of the four contributing independent variables individually for the prediction of academic success in physics lessons, stepwise regression analysis was applied to the data. In the stepwise regression analysis, the variables were introduced to the multiple regression analysis according to their contributions (Table 2).

When the $R^2$ values in Table 2 were analyzed, self-efficacy towards solving physics problems (SESP) was 11% of the total observed variance subscale [F (1,639) = 80.81, p <.005]. In the second stage, the efficacy (EF) subscale participation in prediction, the total variance increased up to 15% [F (2,638) = 55.97, p <.005]; in the third stage, self-efficacy towards learning physics (SELP) increased up to 16% [F (3,637) = 39.64, p <.005] and at the final stage increased up to 16.3% [F (4,636) = 30.97, p <.005], with the scores of attitude scale towards physics lesson (PAS). In other words, as a result of stepwise regression analysis, the most important contribution to prediction came from the subscale of self-efficacy towards solving physics problems (SESP) and it was followed by the efficacy (EF) subscale, self-efficacy towards learning physics (SELP) subscale and physics attitude scale (PAS), respectively.

When the results are evaluated according to the direction of the relationship between the variables in Multiple and Stepwise regression analysis, these four variables for academic success in physics lessons are effective and positive increases in these variables can be said to lead to an increase in academic success in physics lessons. According to the results of the regression analysis, the regression equations for predicting the
Table 1. The multiple regression analysis results of the prediction of the university students' academic success in physics lessons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted variable</th>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Std. error</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Zero-order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic success in physics lessons</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>14.940</td>
<td>5.586</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.675</td>
<td>.008*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-efficacy towards solving physics problems (SESPP)</td>
<td>.719</td>
<td>.184</td>
<td>.221</td>
<td>3.911</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efficacy (EF)</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>.276</td>
<td>.185</td>
<td>4.937</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-efficacy towards learning physics (SELP)</td>
<td>1.093</td>
<td>.417</td>
<td>.145</td>
<td>2.624</td>
<td>.009*</td>
<td>.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physics Attitude Scale (PAS)</td>
<td>-.144</td>
<td>.069</td>
<td>-.083</td>
<td>-2.080</td>
<td>.038*</td>
<td>.073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple R= .404; R²= .163; Adj. R²= .158; F(4,636) = 30.969, p< .000. *p< .05.

Table 2. The stepwise regression analysis results of the prediction of the university students' academic success in physics lessons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted variable</th>
<th>Stepwise analysis stage</th>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
<th>Standard error of the estimate</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic success in physics lessons</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Self-efficacy towards solving physics problems (SESPP)</td>
<td>.335</td>
<td>.112</td>
<td>.112</td>
<td>19.73</td>
<td>80.81*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Efficacy (EF)</td>
<td>.386</td>
<td>.149</td>
<td>.037</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>55.97*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Self-efficacy towards learning physics (SELP)</td>
<td>.397</td>
<td>.157</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>39.64*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Physics Attitude Scale (PAS)</td>
<td>.404</td>
<td>.163</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>19.21</td>
<td>30.97*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p< .05.

academic success in physics lessons are given as follows:

Academic success in physics lessons = 14.940 + 0.719 SESPP + 1.360EF + 1.093SELP - 0.144PAS

DISCUSSION

University students' attitudes towards physics lesson, their self-efficacy beliefs and burnout levels pointed out to have significant contributions in predictions of their academic success in physics. According to the findings, the independent variables that significantly predicted the dependent variables resulted in approximately 16.3% of the academic success of university students in physics lesson.

Stepwise regression analysis revealed that the most important predictive contribution comes from self-efficacy towards solving physics problems (SESPP) and it is followed by the efficacy (EF) subscale of MBI-SS, self-efficacy towards learning physics (SELP) subscale and physics attitude scale (PAS) scores, respectively. According to these results, self-efficacy beliefs both in solving physics problems and in learning physics coupled with feeling of efficacy and having positive attitudes towards the physics lesson can be said to be effective for the success of university students in physics lessons.

At this point, when specific self-efficacy belief in certain areas is considered to express 15.7% of the variance of academic success in physics lessons, the results are similar with the studies (Çalışkan et al., 2010; Çapri et al., 2012; Selçuk et al., 2008) that show significant relationship with physical self-efficacy beliefs and academic success; but it differs from the studies in which academic success is reported to have no effect on physical self-efficacy beliefs (Abak et al., 2002; Shaw, 2004). However, the results obtained in this study are parallel to the published papers in Turkey and abroad whose results show that self-efficacy belief is a powerful predictor of academic success (Britner and Pajares, 2001; Çapri et al., 2012; Hackett, 1985; Zimmerman et al., 1992, Andrew, 1998; Baykul, 1990, Kan and Akbaş, 2006; Levin et al., 1991; Pajares and Miller, 1994; Pintrich and DeGroot, 1990; Schunk, 1985; Smist,
On the other hand, the results of the attitude towards physics lesson which has a very low percentage (0.006%) for explaining the variance of academic success in physics lessons pointed out a consistency with similar studies indicating that attitudes towards physics lesson are predicted meaningfully (Akpinar, 2006; Çapri et al., 2012; Drake, 2009; Karakoyun and Kavak, 2008; Özyürek and Eryılmaz, 2001). Besides, in many studies related to the field of education, there is a significant relationship between attitude and success, and also the results obtained from studies reporting that attitude scores predict academic success in a meaningful way may be considered parallel (Aşkar and Erdem, 1987; Bloom, 1976; Cannon and Simpson, 1985; Çapri et al., 2012; Germann, 1988; Hough and Piper, 1982; Marjoribanks, 1976; Schibeci and Riley, 1986; Shrigley et al., 1988; Levin et al., 1991; Talton and Simpson, 1987).

In another result, no predictive relation was found between the academic success in physics lessons and in the perspective of exhaustion (EX) and cynicism (CY) that lie in the center and are the most important subscales of MBI-SS which are the source of stress for students. These results are similar with the studies (Balogun et al., 1996; Garden, 1991) that try to find a significant relationship between burnout levels, academic success and academic performance; nevertheless, these results indicate no similarities with the results of the studies (McCarthy et al., 1990; Neumann et al., 1990; Nowack and Hanson, 1983; Schaufel et al., 2002a) that suggest meaningful relationship. However, in terms of the efficacy (EF) subscale, obtained predictive results can be considered parallel with these studies in the literature. At this point, in results obtained from the subscales exhaustion (EX) and cynicism (CY) of MBI-SS which are the most important predictors of burnout levels, the university students are considered not to be affected negatively enough to feel burnout through the process of physics education.

Related to the studies of science and physics education, these lessons were generally disliked, feared, or difficult to understand and as the leading lessons taken and failed (Bakaç et al., 1994; Bakaç and Kumru, 1998). Additionally, students’ negative attitudes towards physics lesson are due to their prejudices towards the lesson (Gönen and Kocakaya, 2006). Considering the findings of this study, when students have a positive attitude towards physics lesson and feel they are highly competent both in solving physics problems and in their self-efficacy beliefs in learning physics, then they will be exposed to lesser burnout, which affects their academic success in physics lessons positively. Students with an increasing academic success in physics may be considered to have a diminishing fear, anxiety and burnout in physics lesson and thus no pre judgements against physics lesson can be improved.

In this study, it is concluded that the major contribution to the prediction process comes from self-efficacy towards solving physics sub-scale and it is followed by efficacy (EF) subscale scores of MBI-SS, self-efficacy towards learning physics subscale scores (SELP) and attitude scale towards physics lesson scores (SAP), respectively. All of the independent variables that significantly predicted the dependent variables expressed approximately 16.3% of academic success of university students in physics lessons. Considering the results obtained, the following suggestions can be made:

- The independent variables examined in this study are considered to result in 16.3% of the academic success variance in physics lessons of university students, but new studies are needed to reveal the variables explaining the other 83.7%.
- In this study, since the independent variables on the dependent variable were found to be effective, conducting deeper research on larger sample groups can be useful.
- In this study, for increasing the university students' academic success in physics lessons, variables like attitude towards physics lesson, self-efficacy beliefs towards solving physics problems and self-efficacy beliefs towards learning physics were found to be effective. Therefore, it can be useful for the academic staff, who teach university students, to take these variables into account during planning and carrying out the process of education.
- Within the process of helping the students experiencing burnout due to the failure in physics lessons to cope with these problems, it would be beneficial to perform both individual and group counseling practices by experts from psychological counseling and guidance centers by taking their self-efficacy beliefs and attitudes towards physics lesson into account.
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