

Full Length Research Paper

# A survey of ectoparasites from wild rodents and *Anourosorex squamipes* in Sichuan Province, Southwest China

Lei Wei<sup>1,2</sup>, Xinwei Wang<sup>1</sup>, Chengmin Wang<sup>1</sup> and Hongxuan He<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Research Center for Wildlife Born Diseases, Key Laboratory of Animal Ecology and Conservation Biology, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, P. R. China.

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Animal Science, Suzhou Vocational Technology College, Anhui, Suzhou, 234000, China.

Accepted 27 July, 2010

This study was to investigate the populations and species of ectoparasites from *Apodemus agrarius* (Rodentia: Muridae; Pallas, 1771), *Rattus norvegicus* (Rodentia: Muridae; Berkenhout, 1769), *Rattus nitidus* (Rodentia: Muridae; Hodgson, 1845), *Rattus flavipectus* (Rodentia: Muridae; Gray, 1847), *Mus musculus* (Rodentia: Muridae; Linnaeus, 1758), *Micromys minutus* (Rodentia: Muridae; Pallas, 1771) and *Anourosorex squamipes*, (Insectivora: Soricidae; Milne-Edwards, 1872) which have been identified as the main wild reservoir of disease, trapped from six districts of Sichuan province, southwest China, with the objectives of determining the prevalence of ectoparasites and identifying the potential risk factors associated with human health. Parasitological examination was performed by optical microscopy. Out of 282 *A. squamipes* and 175 rodent species examined, 70.5% of *A. squamipes* and 66.4% of rodent species were found to be infested with more ectoparasites (average: 68.45%). A total of 56 species of parasites, including 34 species of chigger mite, 14 species of mesostigmatid (gamasid) mite, six species of flea and two species of sucking louse were examined. The ectoparasites identified in *A. squamipes* were chigger mite (60.0%), mesostigmatid (gamasid) mite (22.2%), flea (13.3%) and sucking louse (4.4%). In rodents, chigger mite (60.7%), mesostigmatid (gamasid) mite (25.0%), flea (10.7%) and sucking louse (3.6%) were identified also. Both in rodents and *A. squamipes*, significant variation ( $p < 0.05$ ) in ectoparasite infestation was observed in relation to body weight between females and males in all ectoparasites and chigger mites. Most species of ectoparasite were relatively uncommon, but a few were abundant. Within this ectoparasite complex, 16 species have previously been reported to be vectors of human disease agents. These mammals would appear therefore to be a natural reservoir for plague bacilli and epidemic haemorrhagic fever (Korean haemorrhagic fever) viruses. The results suggest that parasite viability studies are needed in order to assess the potential risk for human health.

**Key words:** *Anourosorex squamipes*, China, ectoparasites, wild rodents.

## INTRODUCTION

Understanding the richness of ectoparasite species provides valuable insights into the ecological roles they play in the regulation of their host populations and communities (Poulin and Rohde, 1997; Poulin, 1998; Stankov et al., 2002; Krasnov et al., 2004). Many ectoparasites are vectors of bacteria, viruses, cestodes, nematodes

and other disease agents, and mammals with higher parasite loads and species richness may harbour more such vectors. *Apodemus agrarius*, *Rattus norvegicus*, *Rattus nitidus*, *Rattus flavipectus*, *Mus musculus*, *Micromys minutus* and *Anourosorex squamipes* are widespread in Sichuan province, and are well known as a reservoir of plague in southwest China (Tian, 1998; Zhang et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2007). Some ectoparasites of rodent species and *A. squamipes*, notably fleas, can infest humans and may lead to the development of

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [hehx@ioz.ac.cn](mailto:hehx@ioz.ac.cn).

**Table 1.** Species and numbers of all trapped small mammals in six districts, Sichuan province.

Sites	<i>A. squamipes</i>		<i>A. agrarius</i>		<i>R. norvegicus</i>		<i>R. tanezumi</i>		<i>R. nitidus</i>		<i>M. minutus</i>		<i>M. musculus</i>	
	m	f	m	f	m	f	m	f	m	f	m	f	m	f
Dujingyan	66	53	6	4					2	3				
Pengzhou	32	28	3	2	13	7	3	2	3	2				
Shifang	10	7			3	2	2	3	3	2				
Mianzhu	50	41	21	14							3	2		
Anxian	3	2	25	20			3	2					3	2
Beichuan			5	5	3	2								
Total	161	121	50	45	19	11	8	8	8	7	3	2	3	1

Note: m, males; f, females.

dermatitis. Moreover, the capacity of fleas to act as vectors for several microparasites was recognized, and has important implications for human health. For example, fleas are known to transmit the etiologic agent of bubonic plague, *Yersinia pestis*, from rodents and *A. squamipes* to humans (Rust et al., 1971; Antolin et al., 2002; Gage and Kosoy, 2005). In addition, fleas have been implicated in the transmission of the main etiologic agent of catscratch zoonosis (Comer et al., 2001; Chomel et al., 2006) and several trypanosomatids (Zanatta-Coutinho and Marcos-Linardi, 2007). Rodents and *A. squamipes* are well known as a reservoir of plague and also a natural reservoir of other disease agents, such as epidemic haemorrhagic fever (EHF = Korean haemorrhagic fever) viruses (Su et al., 1989). This study will aid in assessment of the distribution patterns of ectoparasite, and is an important tool in developing control strategies and in evaluation of ectoparasite-borne disease risk in humans.

This is the first study of prevalence of ectoparasites was investigated on *A. squamipes* and rodents at Duijiangyan, Pengzhou, Shifang, Mianzhu Beichuan and Anxian, Sichuan province after Wenchuan earthquake. No studies had focus on infestation with ecto-parasites on small mammals in this area under natural condition. The objective of this study was to determine by the prevalence of ectoparasites on these animals and to provide theoretical data for preventing the occurrence of zoonotic diseases.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study area

The study was conducted on Duijiangyan, Pengzhou, Shifang, Mianzhu Beichuan and Anxian (103° 40'-104° 27' N, 31° 03'-31° 41' E; elevation 790 m) Prefecture, Sichuan province, southwest China. The six districts were located on area of earthquake and the climate of field investigations is moist and rainy. *A. agrarius*, *R. norvegicus*, *R. nitidus*, *R. lavipectus*, *M. musculus*, *M. minutus* and *A. squamipes* were trapped from June to November, 2008 in farmland, scrubland and forest with cage-traps (10 cm × 10 cm × 20 cm) baited with carrot and sunflower seeds. The cage-traps were examined and re-baited every morning. Trapped small mammals

were removed from traps shortly after dawn and were put into white cloth bags in the field and brought to the laboratory for the collection of parasites.

### Sampling collection

Before laboratory examination, all trapped small mammals were identified according to their morphological features (Huang et al., 1995). The gender and body weight of rodents and *A. squamipes* were recorded and statisticed, respectively. Species and numbers of all trapped small mammals were in Table 1. In the laboratory, ectoparasites were collected from each trapped small mammal. Each mammal was put on a large, square, white plate and all ectoparasites were collected from the body surface; those scattered on the white cloth bag or leaving the body were also collected with the help of a magnifier (Deng et al., 1993; Li et al., 1997; Jin, 1999; Xie and Zeng, 2000). Ectoparasites were divided into four groups: chigger mites; mesostigmatid (gamasid) mites; fleas and sucking lice.

### Laboratory examinations

Ectoparasites were collected and identified using difference methods. Ticks, lice and fleas were collected and put into containers and preserved in 70% alcohol as described in Urquhart *et al.* (1996). Skin scrapings for mites were processed according to the method described by Cole (1986). The collected samples were examined by stereomicroscope and identification was performed according to the identification key given by Okello-onen *et al.* (1999) for tick and Wall and Shearer (1997) and Urquhart *et al.* (1996) for lice, fleas and mites. The identification was confirmed at National Research Center for Wildlife borne Diseases, Chinese Academy of Sciences. We quieted the ectoparasites that were too damaged to identify.

### Data management and analysis

Non-parametric Mann-Whitney *U*-tests were used to test differences in the abundance of ectoparasites between female and male hosts. Spearman correlation analysis was used to analyze the relationship between ectoparasites and the body parameters of hosts. The constituent ratio (C), prevalence (P) and average ectoparasite abundance (A) were calculated using the following formulae:

$$C = \frac{Ni}{N} \times 100\%; \quad P = \frac{Hi}{H} \times 100\% \quad A = \frac{Ni}{H} \times 100\%$$

where  $N_i$  represents the number of individual ectoparasites in group  $i$  (total ectoparasites, chigger mites, mesostigmatid mites, fleas or sucking lice) and  $N$  represents the total number of ectoparasites.  $H$  represents the total number of mouse hosts and  $H_i$  represents the number of individual mouse hosts parasitized by group  $i$ .

## RESULTS

### Ectoparasite prevalence

A total of 282 *A. squamipes* and 175 rodents species, 195 females and 262 males, were captured (Table 1). A total of 3622 individual ectoparasites were collected and 55 species identified were divided into four groups (Table 2). A large proportion (average: 68.45%) of *A. squamipes* and rodents species were infested, giving an average ectoparasite abundance of 8.51 (Table 3). Chigger mites were the most numerous among the four ectoparasite groups, followed by sucking lice, mesostigmatid mites, fleas and sucking lice infestations. In this investigation, 27 and 33 species of chigger mite were observed on *A. squamipes* and rodents, respectively; 10 and 14 species of mesostigmatid mites were observed on *A. squamipes* and rodents respectively; 6 species of fleas and 2 species of sucking lice were observed on *A. squamipes* and rodents, respectively (Table 2). Most dominants were similar in *A. squamipes* and rodents species except *Frontopsylla spadix* in *A. squamipes* (Table 4).

### Prevalence of ectoparasites by gender and body weight

There was a high proportion in male than female in terms of the abundance of chigger mites on *A. squamipes* and rodents species. The results show significant differences between male and female of *A. squamipes* and rodents species in terms of the abundance of chigger mites ( $U = 24862$ , d.f. = 198, 280,  $P = 0.004$ ). The species abundance of both ectoparasites and chigger mites differed significantly between male and female hosts ( $U = 24568$ , d.f. = 202, 264,  $P = 0.004$  for all ectoparasites;  $U = 24248$ , d.f. = 202, 276,  $P = 0.001$  for chigger mites); the species richness of all ectoparasites and chigger mites on male hosts was, respectively, 1.46 and 1.65 times that on female hosts. However, there were no significant differences between male and female hosts that were discovered in mesostigmatid abundance, sucking louse abundance or flea abundance.

There was a high proportion in heavy body than thin body in terms of the abundance and richness of all ectoparasites and chigger mites on *A. squamipes* and rodents species (Table 5). Correlation analysis using 282 *A. squamipes* and 175 rodents species showed that the species abundance and richness of both all ectoparasites and chigger mites were positively correlated with the

body weight of the host ( $r = 0.108$ , d.f. = 456,  $P = 0.030$  for all ectoparasite abundance;  $r = 0.130$ , d.f. = 456,  $P = 0.008$  for chigger mite abundance;  $r = 0.098$ , d.f. = 456,  $P = 0.046$  for the species richness of all ectoparasites;  $r = 0.114$ , d.f. = 456,  $P = 0.022$  for the species richness of chigger mites). But there were no significant differences in mesostigmatid mites' abundance, sucking louse abundance or flea abundance between host body parameters and mesostigmatid mites, sucking lice or fleas.

## DISCUSSION

Some ectoparasites found in this investigation have already been reported and high prevalence was recorded on rat in Yunnan province (He et al., 1997). The results were consistent with previous studies. But it is the first that these ectoparasites were found on *A. squamipes*. The problem of ectoparasites in rodents and *A. squamipes* of this study area should be very important as they are widely distributed in southwest China. In this investigation, 33 species of chigger mite were observed on rodents and *A. squamipes* (Table 2). Chigger mites which are related to many human diseases represented the most numerous of the ectoparasite groups collected. *Leptotrombidium scutellare*, one of the two main vector chigger mites transmitting *Orientia tsutsugamushi* to humans to cause *tsutsugamushi* disease in China (Li et al., 1999), is the most important ectoparasites observed on rodents and *A. squamipes*, accounting for 38.03% and 36.66% of all chigger mites, respectively (Table 4). *Leptotrombidium deliense*, the second most important ectoparasite and another principal vector of *tsutsugamushi* disease in China, was also observed on rodents and *A. squamipes* (6 individuals). These species have also recently been shown to be vectors of EHF virus (Song, 2000; Wu et al., 2004). *Laelaps echidninus*, the most numerous gamasid mite, of which 110 individuals were collected in this study, is a potential reservoir of *Y. pestis*, *Coxiella burnetii*, *O. tsutsugamushi* and *Leptospira interrogans* (Wang and Wang, 1962; Wang et al., 1996) (Table 2). Reportedly, *L. echidninus* can cause popular urticaria (Zhao, 2002). *Hirstionyssus sunci*, the third most numerous mesostigmatid mites, of which 49 individuals were collected, can cause human dermatitis (Deng et al., 1993). Fleas can cause skin damage and anaphylaxis by biting humans and sucking blood, and can also transmit zoonotic pathogens such as those that cause endemic typhus and tularaemia. Six species of flea were observed on rodents and *A. squamipes*. *Neopsylla specialis*, which has been described as the dominant flea species on rat in Yunnan province (He et al., 1997), was the most numerous of the fleas observed in this investigation, accounting for 14 individuals and 11 individuals or 38.89% and 35.48% of all fleas collected from *A. squamipes* and Rodents, followed by *Ctenophthalmus quadratus*, *Stenischia humilis*, *F. spadix*, *Leptopsylla*

**Table 2.** Ectoparasite species, by group, collected from rodents and *Anourosorex squamipes* in Sichuan Province, China in 2008.

<i>Anourosorex squamipes</i>		Rodents	
Species of parasites	Individuals	Species of parasites	Individuals
<b>Chigger mites</b>		<b>Chigger mites</b>	
<i>Gahrlepiea laticutata</i>	3	<i>Gahrlepiea laticutata</i>	4
<i>Gahrlepiea linguipelta</i>	10	<i>Gahrlepiea linguipelta</i>	8
<i>Gahrlepiea megascuta</i>	17	<i>Gahrlepiea megascuta</i>	14
<i>Gahrlepiea yunnanensis</i>	90	<i>Gahrlepiea yunnanensis</i>	72
<i>Helenicula simena</i>	35	<i>Gahrlepiea radiopunctata</i>	2
<i>Herpetacarus hastoclavus</i>	112	<i>Helenicula simena</i>	30
<i>Leptotrombidium apodevrieri</i>	38	<i>Herpetacarus hastoclavus</i>	100
<i>Leptotrombidium bambicola</i>	4	<i>Leptotrombidium apodevrieri</i>	20
<i>Leptotrombidium biji</i>	6	<i>Leptotrombidium bambicola</i>	3
<i>Leptotrombidium bishanense</i>	28	<i>Leptotrombidium biji</i>	4
<i>Leptotrombidium deliense</i>	4	<i>Leptotrombidium bishanense</i>	22
<i>Leptotrombidium densipunctatum</i>	36	<i>Leptotrombidium deliense†</i>	2
<i>Leptotrombidium dianchi</i>	5	<i>Leptotrombidium densipunctatum</i>	24
<i>Leptotrombidium eothenomydis</i>	6	<i>Leptotrombidium dianchi</i>	4
<i>Leptotrombidium gongshanense</i>	8	<i>Leptotrombidium eothenomydis</i>	5
<i>Leptotrombidium jinmai</i>	10	<i>Leptotrombidium gongshanense</i>	6
<i>Leptotrombidium kaohuense†</i>	3	<i>Leptotrombidium jinmai</i>	8
<i>Leptotrombidium longimedium</i>	63	<i>Leptotrombidium kaohuense†</i>	4
<i>Leptotrombidium robustisetum</i>	12	<i>Leptotrombidium longimedium</i>	40
<i>Leptotrombidium rusticum</i>	200	<i>Leptotrombidium robustisetum</i>	12
<i>Leptotrombidium scutellare†</i>	500	<i>Leptotrombidium rusticum</i>	180
<i>Leptotrombidium shuqui</i>	15	<i>Leptotrombidium scutellare†</i>	480
<i>Leptotrombidium sinicum</i>	110	<i>Leptotrombidium shuqui</i>	10
<i>Leptotrombidium xiaguanense</i>	10	<i>Leptotrombidium sinicum</i>	100
<i>Leptotrombidium xiaowei</i>	7	<i>Leptotrombidium xiaguanense</i>	7
<i>Leptotrombidium yongshengense</i>	5	<i>Leptotrombidium xiaowei</i>	11
<i>Leptotrombidium yui†</i>	27	<i>Leptotrombidium yongshengense</i>	9
		<i>Leptotrombidium yui†</i>	33
<b>Mesostigmatid mites</b>		<i>Trombiculindus bambusoides</i>	20
<i>Hirstionyssus sunci†</i>	29	<i>Trombiculindus chilie</i>	3
<i>Hypoaspis pavlovskii</i>	1	<i>Trombiculindus yunnanus</i>	1
<i>Laelaps chini</i>	3	<i>Walchia ewingi</i>	32
<i>Laelaps echidninus†</i>	60	<i>Walchia koi</i>	2
<i>Laelaps guizhouensis</i>	6		
<i>Laelaps nuttalli</i>	47	<b>Mesostigmatid mites</b>	
<i>Laelaps paucisetosa</i>	3	<i>Lasioseius trifurcipilus</i>	1
<i>Laelaps turkestanicus†</i>	6	<i>Proctolaelaps pygmaeus</i>	13
<i>Ornithonyssus bacoti†</i>	1	<i>Gymdolaelaps weishanensis</i>	1
<i>Proctolaelaps pygmaeus</i>	7	<i>Haemogamasus oliviformis</i>	2
		<i>Hirstionyssus sunci†</i>	20
<b>Fleas</b>		<i>Hypoaspis pavlovskii</i>	1
<i>Ctenophthalmus quadratus†</i>	7	<i>Laelaps chini</i>	2
<i>Neopsylla specialis specialis†</i>	14	<i>Laelaps echidninus†</i>	50

Table 2. Contd.

<i>Stenischia humilis</i> †	6	<b>Fleas</b>	
<i>Frontopsylla spadix</i> †	4	<i>Ctenophthalmus quadratus</i> †	6
<i>Leptopsylla segnis</i> †	3	<i>Neopsylla specialis specialis</i> †	11
<i>Paradoxopsyllus custodist</i>	2	<i>Stenischia humilis</i> †	5
		<i>Frontopsylla spadix</i> †	3
<b>Sucking lice</b>		<i>Leptopsylla segnis</i> †	3
<i>Hoplopleura affinis</i>	226	<i>Paradoxopsyllus custodist</i>	3
<i>Polyplax serrata</i>	240		
<i>Laelaps guizhouensis</i>	5	<b>Sucking lice</b>	
<i>Laelaps nuttalli</i>	30	<i>Hoplopleura affinis</i>	200
<i>Laelaps paucisetosa</i>	2	<i>Polyplax serrata</i>	219
<i>Laelaps turkestanicus</i> †	5		
<i>Ornithonyssus bacoti</i> †	1		
<i>Proctolaelaps pygmaeus</i>	6		

†This ectoparasite is a vector of human disease.

**Table 3.** Constituent ratio, prevalence and average abundance of ectoparasites on rodents and *Anourosorex squamipes* in Sichuan Province, China, 2008.

Groups	Number of individuals	Number of species	Constituent ratio (C)	Prevalence (P)	Average abundance (A)
All ectoparasites	3890	55	100	68.45	8.51
Chigger mites	2626	34	67.51	41	5.75
Sucking lice	885	2	22.75	33	1.94
Mesostigmatid mites	302	14	7.76	18	0.66
Fleas	67	6	1.72	8	0.15

**Table 4.** Dominant species (representing >10% of its group) in the four groups of ectoparasite on rodents and *A. squamipes*.

Species	<i>A. squamipes</i>		Rodents	
	Individuals	Percentage of group	Individuals	Percentage of group
<b>Chigger mites</b>				
<i>Leptotrombidium scutellare</i>	500	36.66	480	38.03
<i>Leptotrombidium rusticu</i>	200	14.66	180	14.26
<b>Sucking lice</b>				
<i>Polyplax serrata</i>	240	51.50	219	52.26
<i>Hoplopleura affinis</i>	226	48.49	200	47.73
<b>Mesostigmatid mites</b>				
<i>Laelaps echidninus</i>	60	36.81	50	35.97
<i>Laelaps nuttalli</i>	47	28.83	30	21.58
<i>Hirstionyssus sunci</i>	29	17.79	20	14.38
<b>Fleas</b>				
<i>Neopsylla specialis</i>	14	38.89	11	35.48
<i>Ctenophthalmus quadratus</i>	7	19.44	6	19.35
<i>Stenischia humilis</i>	6	16.67	5	16.13
<i>Frontopsylla spadix</i>	4	11.11		

**Table 5.** Infestations of ectoparasites on *A. squamipes* and Rodents species by body weight.

	<i>A. squamipes</i>		Rodents species	
	Heavy body (n=189) (%)	Thin body (n=93) (%)	Heavy body (n=112) (%)	Thin body (n=63) (%)
All ectoparasites	62.37	38.56	60.23	36.75
Chigger mites	45.62	21.24	46.36	19.52
Mesostigmatid mites	9.34	6.86	7.35	5.23
Fleas	7.13	4.25	8.12	5.32
Sucking lice	10.24	8.26	19.89	7.35

*segnis* and *Paradoxopsyllus custodies*, all of which had been previously reported to be naturally infected with *Y. pestis* (Table 2). *N. specialis specialis* is a vector of plague bacilli and the most important vector in the sylvatic plague focus in southwest China (Zhang et al., 1996; He et al., 1997). This study found that the abundance of chigger mites and total ectoparasite on *A. squamipes* and rodents was significant differences between male and female (Table 5). Correlation analysis using 282 *A. squamipes* and 175 rodents species showed that ectoparasite abundance, chigger mite abundance, and the species richness of both all ectoparasites and chigger mites were positively correlated with the body weight of the host. The species richness of both all ectoparasites and chigger mites differed significantly between male and female hosts; the species richness of all ectoparasites and chigger mites on male hosts was, respectively, 1.46 and 1.65 times that on female hosts. On the contrary, no correlations were observed between host body parameters and mesostigmatid mites, sucking lice or fleas.

This is the first study to prevalence of ectoparasites on *A. squamipes* and rodents after Wenchuan earthquake. No previous study has examined such a large ectoparasites population sample in this geographical zone. Our results showed that many of the ectoparasites found on *A. squamipes* and rodents were able to transmission zoonotic pathogens (Table 2). Prior to this work, little information was available on the ectoparasites of *A. squamipes* and rodents in Sichuan Province, although most zoonotic diseases, such as plague, *Tsutsugamushi* disease, endemic typhus, EHF and Leptospirosis, occur there. *A. squamipes* and rodents were known to be a natural reservoir of plague and EHF, it remains to be verified whether it serves as a reservoir for other infections. Ectoparasites on small field mammals have been less researched than those on house mice, more studies on the ectoparasites of small, wild mammals such as *A. squamipes* and rodents are needed.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by grants from Chinese Academy

of Sciences earthquake special projects (O8291P1134), Chinese Academy of Sciences Knowledge Innovation Program of the major directions projects (KSCX2-YW-N-063) and the National Key Basic Research and Development Program of China (9732007BC109103).

## REFERENCES

- Antolin MF, Gober P, Luce B, Biggins DE, Vanpelt WE, Seery DB, Lockhart M, Ball M (2002). The influence of sylvatic plague on North American wildlife at the landscape level, with special emphasis on black-footed ferret and prairie dog conservation. Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference. 67: 104-127.
- Chomel BB, Boulouis HJ, Maruyama S, Breitschwerdt EB (2006). Bartonella spp. in pets and effect on human health. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 12(3): 389-394.
- Cole HE (1986). Veterinary clinical pathology. W.B Saunders company, Philadelphia. 4: 391-403.
- Comer JA, Paddock CD, Childs JE (2001). Urban zoonoses caused by Bartonella, Coxiella, Ehrlichia and Rickettsia species. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Dis., 1: 91-118.
- Deng GF, Wang DQ, Gu YM, Meng YC (1993). Economic Insect Fauna of China Fasc. Acari: Dermanysoidea. Beijing: Science Press, 40: 26-50.
- Gage KL, Kosoy MY (2005). Natural history of the plague: perspectives from more than a century of research. Ann. Rev. Entomol., 50: 505-528.
- He JH, Liang Y, Zhang HY, Zhao WH, Wu MS, Hu XL Yang ZM (1997). A study on the transmission of plague through seven kinds of flea in rat type and wild rodent type plague foci in Yunnan. Chin. J. Epidemiol., 18: 236-240.
- Huang WJ, Chen YX, Wen YX, Jin DX (1999). Taxonomy and index of sucking lice of China. Beijing: Science Press. pp. 2-4.
- Krasnov BR, Shenbrot GI, Khokhlova IS, Degen AA (2004). Flea species richness and parameters of host body, host geography and host 'milieu'. J. Anim Ecol., 73: 1121-1128.
- Li JC, Zheng XY, Xi ZY, Ni H, Zhang H, Chen CF (1999). A series studies on *Trombiculid mite* and *Rickettsia tsutsugamushi*. Acad. J. Sun Yat-Sen Univ. Med. Sci., 20: 241-247.
- Liang Y, He JH, Zhang HY, Zhao WH, Wu MS, Hu XL (1994). A study on biology and vector efficiency in the transmission of plague of *Xenopsylla cheopis* and *Leptopsylla segnis*. Chin. J. Epidemiol., 13: 380-381.
- Liang Y, He JH, Zhao WH, Zhang HY, Liang ZM, Hu XL (1996). A Study on vector efficiency of *Ctenophthalmus quadratus* in the transmission of plague. Endem. Dis. Bull. 11: 21-24.
- Okellonen T, Hassan SM, Essuman S (1999). Taxonomy of African ticks: An identification manual. ICIPE Science Press, p. 124.
- Poulin R (1998). Evolutionary Ecology of Parasites: from Individuals to Communities. Chapman & Hall, London 1-88.
- Poulin R, Rohde K (1997). Comparing richness of metazoan

- site communities of marine fishes: controlling for host phylogeny. *Oecol.*, 110: 278-283.
- Rust JH, Miller BE, Bahmanyar M, Marshall JD, Purnaveja S, Cavanaugh DC, Hla US (1971). The role of domestic animals in the epidemiology of plague. II. Antibody to *Yersinia pestis* in the sera of dogs and cats. *J. Infect. Dis* 124: 527-531.
- Song G (2000). Achievements in research of epidemic haemorrhagic fever in China. *Chin. J. Epidemiol.* pp. 21: 378.
- Stanko M, Miklisová D, Bellocq JG, Morand S (2002). Mammal density and patterns of ectoparasite species richness and abundance. *Oecol.*, 131: 289-295.
- Su FC, Li FG, Qu SZ, Yang JL, Zhao SD, Zhang XK (1989). Medical Animals in Yunnan Province, Yunnan Science & Technology Press, pp. 1-355.
- Tian J (1998). The host of plague natural focus of Jianchuan, Yunnan. *Endem. Dis. Bull.*, 13: 35-39.
- Urquhart GM, Armour J, Duncan JL, Dunn AM, Jennings FW (1996). *Veterinary Parasitology*, Blackwell Science Ltd., UK, 2: 141-205.
- Wall R, Shearer D (1997). *Veterinary entomology*. Chapman & Hall UK. 1: 439
- Wang L, Jin LX, Qi ZZ (1996). The checklist of arthropods naturally infected with *Y. pestis* in each natural focus of plague in China. *Endem. Dis. Bull.*, 11: 50-53.
- Wang ES, Wang DQ (1962). Effect of *Gamasoidea* on the natural focus diseases in Fujian Province. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Entomological Society of China, pp. 1-290.
- Wang YS, Liu QY, Cong XB, Xu C, Li YM (2007). Plague reservoirs and their classification in natural foci of China. *Chin. J. Vector Biol. Control.*, 18: 127-133.
- Wu G, Zhang Y, Guo H (2004). Study on the transovarian transmission of pathogen in *Leptrombidium scutellare*. *Chin. J. Vector. Biol. Control.*, 15: 301-303.
- Xie BQ, Zeng JF (2000). The Siphonaptera of Yunnan, Yunnan Science and Technology Press, pp. 29-41.
- Zhang HY, He JH, Zhao WH, Liang Y, Yang ZM, Hu XL, Gao ZH (1996). The vector efficiency of *Neopsylla specialis specialis* in transmitting plague. *Endem. Dis. Bull.*, 11: 24-26.
- Zhang G, Zhang GJ, Wang SR, Sun QT, Shan GG (2002). Survey of natural foci of plague and epidemic situations in China. *Chin. J. Control Endem. Dis.*, 17: 101-103.
- Zhao H (2002). Two cases of papular urticaria caused by *Laelaps echidninus*. *J. Clin. Exp. Med.*, 1: 255.
- Zanatta-Coutinho MT, Marcos-Linardi P (2007). Can fleas from dogs infected with canine visceral leishmaniasis transfer the infection to other mammals? *Vet. Parasitol.*, 147: 320-325.