

Full Length Research Paper

***In vitro* antimicrobial activity of extracts from *Abarema cochliacarpus* (Gomes) Barneby and J. W. Grimes**

**Nina Claudia Barboza da Silva^{1*}, Maria Aparecida Esquibel², Jaci do Espírito Santo Santos³,
Mara Zélia de Almeida⁴, Corine Silva Sampaio⁴ and Tânia Fraga Barros⁴**

¹Plant Production Department, Federal University of Espírito Santo, Alto Universitário s/n, Cx. Postal 16, 29500-000, Alegre-ES, Brazil.

²Plant Biotechnology Postgraduate Program, Health Science Center, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Av. Carlos Chagas Filho, 373, bloco K, 2º andar, sala 20 - Ilha do Fundão, 21944-970, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, Brazil.

³Barra Il Community Association, 44859-000, Morro do Chapéu-BA, Brazil.

⁴Pharmacy Faculty, Federal University of Bahia, Campus de Ondina, Av. Barão Geremoabo, 40170- 240 Salvador-BA, Brazil.

Accepted 5 July, 2010

The usage of *Abarema cochliacarpus* (Mimosaceae) in traditional medicine by many communities in Brazil for diseases such as leucorrhoea and dermatitis and as an antiseptic might indicate its antimicrobial activities. In order to assay *in vitro* antimicrobial activity, three extracts (hot aqueous extract, cold aqueous extract and methanol extract) from stem bark of *A. cochliacarpus* were tested against a panel of standard microorganisms (*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6835, *Micrococcus luteus* ATCC 9341, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 10536, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 15442, *Salmonella choleraesuis* ATCC 10708, *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231, *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* ATCC 9533 and *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 16404) and multiresistant clinical isolates (*S. aureus* MR 01, MR02 and MR03). The antimicrobial activity was evaluated through the disk diffusion method, and the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined using the micro dilution method. The results indicated that both aqueous extracts are active against gram-positive bacteria (*M. luteus* ATCC 9341, *S. aureus* ATCC 6835, and all clinical multiresistant samples) and against gram-negative bacteria (*S. choleraesuis* ATCC 10708). MIC values ranged between 5.0 and 15.62 µg/ml for gram-positive bacteria. The methanol extract gave a positive result only for gram-positive bacteria (ATCC standards *M. luteus* and *S. aureus* and all clinical multiresistant samples).

Key words: *Abarema cochliacarpus*, antimicrobial activity, gram-negative bacteria, gram-positive bacteria, medicinal plant, traditional use.

INTRODUCTION

Of the 250 drugs that are considered basic and essential by the World Health Organization (WHO), 11% are produced exclusively from medicinal plants, and a significant number are synthetics developed from natural sources. These include antibiotics that were recently introduced into the market. From 1981 to 2006, ten of 109 new antimicrobial agents that were analyzed by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) were derived from natural products (teicoplanin, mupirocin, myocamicin,

carumonama, isepamicin, and RV-11), and 67 were semi-synthetic compounds that were based on natural products (Newman and Cragg, 2007). In spite of a number of recent findings in this field, there is still a need for new antimicrobial drugs because of the increased number of deaths caused by microbial infections associated with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or inadequate hygiene, and also the increasing number of multiresistant microorganisms. According to the 2000 World Health Report of infectious diseases, overcoming resistance to antibiotics is one of the major issues facing the WHO during the present millennium (Mbosso et al., 2010). The systematic selection of antimicrobially active plant extracts requires a continuous effort in the search for new

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ninacbs@terra.com.br. Tel: +55 28 35528618. Fax: +55 28 35538627.

compounds that show potential activity, especially against multiresistant bacteria (Suffredini et al., 2004; Zakaria et al., 2010). Although, up to the present, no plant compounds have been found to compete with the antibiotics that are currently in clinical use, the great structural variety found in plants makes them attractive as a source of novel lead compounds (Cowan, 1999).

Abarema cochliacarpus (B. A. Gomes) Barneby and J. W. Grimes is a native Brazilian species belonging to the family Mimosaceae. It grows especially in the Atlantic Forest but is also found in the scrub savanna (Brazilian cerrado) and savanna (cerrado), as well as on rock outcrops (campo rupestre), sometimes up to 1100 meters above sea level (IUCN, 2010). Popularly known as "barbatimão", the decoction of the stem bark is used in traditional medicine as a healing aid and antiseptic, and against leucorrhea and dermatosis (Agra et al., 2008), inflammation and gastric ulcers (Silva et al., 2006), and as an analgesic (Silva, 2006). The presence of triterpenes, catechins, lupeol saponins, tannins, phenols and anthraquinones has been reported for different stem-bark extracts of *A. cochliacarpus* (Araújo et al., 2002; Silva et al., 2009). Previous pharmacological studies demonstrated that different extracts have analgesic and healing effects on gastric and skin lesions and a protective effect in acute experimental colitis (Silva et al., 2006, 2009, 2010). Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of aqueous and methanol extracts from stem bark of *A. cochliacarpus* against standard ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) bacteria strains and clinical isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

Plant material was collected in May 2003 in Sauípe, Bahia, Brazil. It was identified by a specialist of the Rio de Janeiro Botanical Garden Herbarium, where a voucher specimen (RB365914) was preserved. Stem bark collected from the same plant was used to prepare the extracts.

Extract preparation

Air-dried and powdered stem bark was used to prepare the extracts, according to Silva et al. (2009). For the hot aqueous extract (HAE), 130 g of plant powder was boiled for 5 min in 1.5 L distilled water. For the cold aqueous extract (CAE), 130 g of plant powder was macerated in 1.5 L distilled water for 36 h. The extracts were filtered using Whatman filter paper no. 1, frozen and lyophilized. Both aqueous extracts were stored in the dark at 20°C until further use. The methanol extract (MeOH) was obtained from powdered bark (900 g) macerated in methanol at 20°C in the dark for three weeks. The extract was filtered and evaporated to dryness using a rotary evaporator (Fitosan-820) at 45°C, and the resulting extract was stored under the same conditions described above.

Microorganisms

Standard strains of ATCC microorganisms: *Staphylococcus*

aureus ATCC 6835, *Micrococcus luteus* ATCC 9341, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 10536, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 15442, *Salmonella choleraesuis* ATCC 10708, *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231, *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* ATCC 9533, and *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 16404.

Multiresistant clinical isolates: *S. aureus* MR01 (resistant to tetracycline + clavulanic acid, ampicillin, cephalothin, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, cefoxitin, erythromycin, oxacillin, and penicillin, and sensitive to clindamycin, gentamicin, rifampicin, tetracycline, vancomycin, and sulfazotrin); *S. aureus* MR02 (resistant to amoxicillin + clavulanic acid, ampicillin, cephalothin, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, cefoxitin, erythromycin, oxacillin, penicillin, clindamycin, gentamicin, tetracycline, and sulfamethoxazole, and sensitive to vancomycin and rifampicin); *S. aureus* MR03 (resistant to amoxicillin + clavulanic acid, ampicillin/sulbactam, cefazolin, ceftraxone, ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, levofloxacin, and oxacillin, intermediate resistance to gentamicin and gatifloxacin, and sensitive to clindamycin, rifampicin, and synergid). All strains were obtained from clinical samples from the Hospital Santo Amaro, Salvador, Bahia. The strains were identified by the use of biochemical profiles, according to the recommendations of the Manual of Clinical Microbiology (Murray et al., 2003).

Maintenance: All bacteria and yeasts were stored at a temperature of -20°C and the filamentous fungi were stored at a temperature of 4°C. Prior to the experiments, the bacteria were subcultured in tryptic soy agar (TSA), and the yeasts were subcultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The filamentous fungi were transferred to SDA for 7 days.

Susceptibility testing

The disk diffusion method, described by NCCLS document M2-A8 (2003), was adjusted to determine antimicrobial activities from plant extracts. Filter paper discs (6 mm in diameter) were impregnated with the extracts in order to reach a final concentration of 1000 µg per disc. A suspension of the microorganism tested, adjusted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard [10^8 colony-forming units (CFU)/mL], was spread on solid media plates made with Mueller-Hinton agar for bacteria, and SDA for yeasts and fungi. Bacteria and yeasts were incubated in aerobic conditions at 37°C for 24 h, and fungi at room temperature for 7 days. The diameter of the inhibition zone was measured in millimeters, from the edge of the disk to the inner margin of the surrounding pathogen. Each assay of this experiment was repeated twice. Ampicillin, gentamicin and cetoconazol were used individually as positive controls (100 µg/ disk).

The minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of the CAE and HAE were determined by micro dilution techniques in Mueller-Hinton broth (Merck) according to NCCLS (2002). Inoculates were prepared in the same medium at a density adjusted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard (10^8 CFU/mL), and were diluted 1:10 for the broth micro dilution procedure. 96-well plates were incubated at 37°C, and the MICs were recorded after 24 h incubation. The MICs of the methanol extract (MeOH) were determined by the micro dilution technique in Mueller-Hinton agar (Merck) according to Machado et al. (2003).

The extract was diluted in Muller-Hinton medium at 45 - 50°C, and then a 108 CFU/mL bacterial suspension was inoculated on the agar surface. The bacterial-growth control was grown on agar without extract, and the MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the extract at which the microorganism showed no visible growth after a 24 h incubation period at 35°C.

RESULTS

As assayed by the disc diffusion method (Table 1), all the

Table 1. Zone of inhibition (mm diameter) and Minimal inhibitory concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) of *A. cochliacarpus* stem bark extracts.

Microorganisms	Extracts ^b	Zone of inhibition ^a				MIC		
		CAE	HAE	MeOH	RA ^c	CAE	HAE	MeOH
<i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 6835		12	12	10	38	7.81	7.81	5.0
<i>M. luteus</i> ATCC 9341		13	14	12	45	7.81	15.62	10.0
<i>S. choleraesuis</i> ATCC 10708		14	14	0	26	250	250	ND*
<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 10536		0	0	0	25	ND	ND	ND
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 15442		0	0	0	25	ND	ND	ND
<i>S. aureus</i> MR01		12	10	10	7	7.81	15.62	>10
<i>S. aureus</i> MR02		11	10	10	7	15.62	15.62	>10
<i>S. aureus</i> MR03		11	12	12	12	15.62	15.62	>10
<i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 10231		0	0	0	27	ND	ND	ND
<i>T. mentagrophytes</i> ATCC 9533		0	0	0	40	ND	ND	ND
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> ATCC 16404		0	0	0	56	ND	ND	ND

^a Between the edge of the filter paper and the edge of the inhibition area. ^b CAE – cold aqueous extract; HAE – hot aqueous extract; MeOH – methanol extract. ^c Reference antibiotics (ampicillin for gram-positive bacteria, gentamicin for gram-negative and cetoconazole for fungi and yeast). * ND: not determinate because the extract was not active by the disc diffusion test.

extracts showed antimicrobial activity, with formation of an inhibition zone, against gram-positive ATCC strain standard bacteria, ranging from 12 to 14 mm for *M. luteus*, from 10 to 12 mm for *S. aureus* and from 10 to 12 mm for the clinical multiresistant samples (*S. aureus* MR01, MR02, and MR03). The inhibition zones obtained for clinical multiresistant bacteria *S. aureus* MR01 and MR02 from all extracts were greater than the CLSI ampicillin standard (7 mm) while *S. aureus* MR03 responded similarly to the CLSI ampicillin standard (Table 1). Except for the MeOH extract, all others showed a positive result against the gram-negative bacterium *S. choleraesuis*, with the formation of a similar inhibition zone (14 mm). No antimicrobial activity was observed against the other microorganisms tested (Table 1).

The MICs of the active extracts ranged between 5.0 and 250 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ (Table 1). The methanol extract gave the lowest MIC value (5.0 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) for *S. aureus* ATCC6835. The MICs in the cold aqueous extract were lower than in the hot aqueous extract for *M. luteus* and *S. aureus* MR01. For gram-positive bacteria, the MIC values were higher for multiresistant strains compared with ATCC strains, except for the cold aqueous extract, for which the MIC values were the same for *S. aureus* MR01 and ATCC. For the gram-negative bacterium *S. choleraesuis*, the MIC value was much higher than those obtained for the gram-positive bacteria in general.

DISCUSSION

Several studies on the selection of medicinal plants with proven antimicrobial activity have been recently published.

Although, the family Mimosaceae comprises of 40 genera and 2500 species (Judd et al., 2009) few studies have assessed the members of this plant family for bio-logical activities, especially antimicrobial. Testing crude extracts from several plants in French Guiana, Rovira et al. (1999) observed antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus* in 72% of the plants, mostly from the family Mimosaceae. Palombo and Semple (2002) detected antimicrobial activity in alcohol extracts from Australian plants against *S. aureus* MRSA and *Enterococcus faecalis* VRE clinical isolates. Lopes et al. (2005) demonstrated the activity of aqueous and methanol extracts from stem bark of *Stryphnodendron polyphyllum* and *Stryphnodendron obovatum* against gram positive bacteria.

The methanol extract of *Acacia auriculoformis* demonstrated activity against various gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria (Pennachio, 2005). Kouitcheu et al. (2007) demonstrated antimicrobial activity of the ethyl acetate extract of stem bark of *Cylicodiscus gabunensis* against *S. aureus*, *Proteus vulgaris* and *Bacillus cereus*. Millogo-Kone et al. (2008) showed antibacterial activity of hydroalcoholic and aqueous extracts of leaf and stem bark of *Parkia biglobosa* against enterobacteriaceae, and reported that the hydroalcoholic extract of the bark is more active than the aqueous extract of the leaf. The results here described for extracts of the stem bark of *A. cochliacarpus* concord with previously reported observations on various plants of the family Mimosaceae, which have a narrow spectrum of antibacterial activity, effective mainly against *S. aureus*. The aqueous extract that showed antibacterial activity against *S. aureus*, *M. luteus*, and *S. choleraesuis*, showed no difference in activity profile whether it was extracted hot or cold. The methanol

extract showed a narrower spectrum of activity than the aqueous extract, with antibacterial activity only against gram-positive strains. These results are similar to those of Santos et al. (2007), who reported that the hydro-alcoholic extract of the bark of *A. cochliocarpos*, assayed *in vitro*, showed antibacterial potential only against *S. aureus* and *M. luteus*.

The present study showed that the aqueous and methanol extract had no antifungal activity against the fungi tested. No antifungal activity has been described for other extracts of *A. cochliocarpos*. Considering other plants of the family Mimosidaceae, antifungal activity has been described only for the ethyl acetate extract of stem bark of *Cylicodiscus gabunensis*, against the yeasts *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata* (Kouitcheu et al., 2007).

Using the most stringent endpoint criteria as a rule of thumb, extracts or compounds with a selective activity and IC50 or MIC values below 1 - 10 µM (pure compounds) or 1 - 50 µg/ml (extracts) can be considered as active 'hits' for most organisms; for the gram-negative bacteria, mycobacteria, and fungi, 10 - 100 µM (pure compounds) or 1 - 50 µg/ml (extracts) may be more appropriate as endpoint criteria (Cos et al., 2006). In this regard, all extracts from *A. cochliocarpos* can be considered to be useful antimicrobial agents, because they showed MICs between 5.0 and 15.62 µg/ml for most microorganisms tested.

Demonstration of antimicrobial activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria may indicate the presence of broad-spectrum antibiotic compounds (Salama and Marraiki, 2010). Previous phytochemical analysis with the same extracts from *A. cochliocarpos* that were used for the antimicrobial test described here, revealed the presence of saponins, catechins, tannins, phenols and anthraquinones for all three extracts analyzed (Silva et al., 2009). The amphiphilic behavior of saponins and their capacity to form complexes with steroids, proteins and membrane phospholipids determine several biological properties for saponins, including antimicrobial action (Wallace, 2004).

The antimicrobial property of tannins has been described by many investigators (Scalbert, 1991; Djipa et al., 2000). Tannins can be toxic to filamentous fungi, yeasts, and bacteria. Their mode of antimicrobial action may be related to their ability to inactivate microbial adhesins, enzymes and cell envelope transport proteins and they can also complex with polysaccharides (Cowan, 1999). Catechins have been extensively researched because of their occurrence in green teas and they inhibit *in vitro* a large number of bacteria and other microorganisms (Romani et al., 2006).

The results of this investigation support the claims by local practitioners of ethnomedicine regarding the therapeutic efficacy of this plant. The antimicrobial action of the medicinal plant used in this study demonstrates that plant extracts can be a potential source of antimicrobial agents, and that further research to isolate, purify, and test these compounds should be performed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to CNPq for financial support. The authors also thank all members of the community of Barra II, Morro do Chapéu, Bahia, for their permission to carry out the ethnobotanical study. Thanks to Erika von Sohsten de Souza Medeiros of the Rio de Janeiro Botanical Garden Herbarium, for the botanical identification.

REFERENCES

- Agra MF, Silva KN, Basílio IJLD, França PF, Barbosa-Filho JM (2008). Survey of medicinal plants used in the region Northeast of Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Farmacogn.*, 18: 472-508.
- Araújo CW, Peixoto Neto PAS, Campo NV, Porfírio Z, Caetano LC (2002). Antimicrobial activity of *Pithecolobium avaremotermo* bark. *Fitoterapia*, 73: 698-700.
- Cos P, Maes L, Sindambiwe JB, Vlietinck AJ, Berghe VD (2006). Bioassays for Antibacterial and Antifungal Activities. Biological screening of plant constituents. Training manual. In: UNIDO-ICS (United Nations Industrial Development Organization and the International Centre for Science and High Technology), Trieste, pp. 19-28.
- Cowan MM (1999). Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 12: 564-581.
- Djipa CD, Delmée M, Quetin-Leclercq J (2000). Antimicrobial activity of bark extracts of *Syzygium jambos* (L.) Alston (Myrtaceae). *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 71: 307-313.
- International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) (2010). IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Available at <http://www.redlist.org>. Accessed 1 Feb.
- Judd WS, Campbell CS, Kellogg EA, Stevens PF, Donoghue MJ (2009). Plant systematics: a phylogenetic approach. 3rd ed., Artmed, Porto Alegre, pp. 95-99.
- Kouitcheu MLB, Kouam J, Penlap BV, Bonaventure TN, Fomum ZT, Etoa FX (2007). Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of the stem bark of *Cylicodiscus gabunensis* (Mimosaceae). *Afr. J. Trad. Complement. Altern. Med.*, 4: 87-93.
- Lopes GC, Sanches AC, Nakamura CV, Dias Filho BP, Hernandez L, Mello J (2005). Influence of extracts of *Stryphnodendron polyphyllum* Mart. and *Stryphnodendron obovatum* Benth. On the cicatrization of cutaneous wounds in rats. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 99: 265-272.
- Machado TB, Pinto AV, Pinto MCFR, Leal ICR, Silva MG, Amaral ACF, Kuster RM, Santos KRN (2003). *In vitro* activity of Brazilian medicinal plants, naturally occurring naphthoquinones and their analogues, against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents*, 21: 279-284.
- Mbosso EJT, Ngouela S, Nguedia JCA, Beng VP, Rohmer M, Tsamo E (2010) *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of extracts and compounds of some selected medicinal plants from Cameroon. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 128: 476-481.
- Millogo-Kone H, Guissou IP, Nacoulma O, Traore AS (2008). Comparative study of leaf and stem bark extracts of *Parkia biglobosa* against enterobacteria. *Afr. J. Trad. Complement. Altern. Med.*, 10: 238-43.
- Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorgensen JH, Pfaller MA, Tenover FC, Tenover FC (eds) (2003). *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*, 8th ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC, pp. 1037-1212.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (2002). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility testing; Twelfth Informational Supplement M100-512, Wayne, Pennsylvania.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (2003). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests: approved standard. 8th ed. NCCLS document M2-A8, Wayne, Pennsylvania.
- Newman DJ, Cragg GM (2007). Natural products as sources of new drugs over the last 25 years. *J. Nat. Prod.*, 70: 461-477.
- Palombo EA, Sample SJ (2002). Antibacterial activity of Australian plant

- extracts against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE). J. Basic Microbiol., 42: 444-448.
- Pennacchio M, Kemp AS, Taylor RP, Wickens KM, Kienow L (2005). Interesting biological activities from plants traditionally used by Native Australians. J. Ethnopharmacol., 96: 597-601.
- Romani A, Ieri F, Turchetti B, Mulinacci N, Vincieri FF, Buzzini P (2006). Analysis of condensed and hydrolysable tannins from commercial plant extracts. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 41: 415-420.
- Rovira I, Berkov A, Parkinson A, Tavakilian G, Mori S, Meurer-Grimes B (1999). Antimicrobial activity of neotropical wood and bark extracts. Pharm. Biol., 37: 208-215.
- Salama HMH, Marraiki N (2010). Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical analyses of *Polygonum aviculare* L. (Polygonaceae), naturally growing in Egypt. Saudi J. Biol. Sci., 17: 57-63.
- Santos SC, Ferreira FS, Rossi-Alva RC, Fernandez LG (2007). Atividade antimicrobiana in vitro do extrato de *Abarema cochliocarpos* (Gomes) Barneby and Grimes. Rev. Bras. Farmacogn., 17: 215-219.
- Scalbert A (1991). Antimicrobial properties of tannins. Phytochem., 30: 3875-3883.
- Silva MS, Antonioli AR, Batista JS, Mota CN (2006). Plantas medicinais usadas nos distúrbios do trato gastrointestinal no povoado Colônia Treze, Lagarto, SE, Brasil. Acta Bot. Bras., 20: 815-829.
- Silva MS, Sánchez-Fidalgo S, Talero E, Cárdeno A, Silva MP, Villegas W, Brito ARMS, La Lastra CA (2010). Anti-inflammatory intestinal activity of *Abarema cochliocarpos* (Gomes) Barneby and Grimes in TNBS colitis model. J. Ethnopharmacol., 128: 467-475.
- Silva NCB (2006). Potencial biotecnológico de plantas medicinais: estudo etnofarmacológico em uma comunidade quilombola da Chapada Diamantina - BA, PhD Thesis, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Silva NCB, Esquibel MA, Alves IM, Velozo ES, Almeida MZ, Santos JES, Campos-Buzzi F, Meira AV, Cechinel-Filho V (2009). Antinociceptive effects of *Abarema cochliocarpos* (B.A. Gomes) Barneby & J.W. Grimes (Mimosaceae). Rev. Bras. Farmacogn., 19: 46-50.
- Suffredini IB, Sader HS, Gonçalves AG, Reis AO, Gales AC, Varella AD, Younes RN (2004). Screening of antibacterial active extracts obtained from plants native to Brazilian Amazon rain forest and Atlantic forest. Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res., 37: 379-384.
- Wallace RJ (2004). Antimicrobial properties of plant secondary metabolites. Proc. Nutr. Soc., 63: 621-629.
- Zakaria ZA, Sufian AS, Ramasamy K, Ahmat N, Sulaiman MR, Arifah AK, Zuraini A, Somchit MN (2010). *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of *Muntingia calabura* extracts and fractions. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res., 4: 304-308.